

SUMMATI OF MUTAGENICITY
SCREENING STUDIES
NOST-MEDIATED ASSAY
CNTOGENETICS
DOMINANT LETHAL ASSAY
CONTRACT FDA 71-268
COMPOUND FDA 71-38
METHYLPARABEN

Summary of Mutagenicity screening studies host-mediated assay Compound FDA 71-38 Methylpuration 12/6/74

SUMMARY OF MUTAGENICITY
SCREENING STUDIES
NOST-MEDIATED ASSAY
CYTOGENETICS
DOMINANT LETHAL ASSAY
CONTRACT FDA 71-268
COMPOUND FDA 71-38
METHYLPARABEN

#### SUBMITTED TO

FOOD & DRUG ADMINISTRATION
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION AND WELFARE
ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND

SUBMITTED BY

LITTON BIONETICS, INC. 5516 NICHOLSON LANE KENSINGTON, MARYLAND

**DECEMBER 6, 1974** 





December 6, 1974

Mr. Leonard Appleby, Contracting Officer Department of Health, Education and Welfare Public Health Service Food and Drug Administration, CA-212 5600 Fishers Lane, Room 5C-13 Rockville, Maryland 20852

Reference: Contract FDA 71-268; LBI Project #2446

Dear Mr. Appleby:

Litton Bionetics, Inc., is pleased to submit a report for the referenced contract entitled "Mutagenicity Screening Studies" for compound FDA 71-38, Methylparaben.

Included in this report are the results and raw data of the three tests conducted: Host-Mediated Assay, Cytogenetic Studies and Dominant Lethal Assay. Eight (8) copies are being submitted for your review.

Upon completion of the toxicology work an evaluation was made of our results to those appearing in the literature. In cases where our values were lower, the toxicology was repeated. In some instances either the Host-Mediated Assay, Dominant Lethal Assay, and/or Cytogenetic Studies were also repeated at one or more levels to fulfill the requirements of the contract. In some cases, the acute and/or subacute assays were involved.

If there are any questions concerning this report, or, if additional information is required, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

LITTON BIONETICS. INC.

Robert J. Weir, Ph.D.

Vice President

RJW:11s Enclosures (8)

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

				Page No
I.	REPOR	T	•••••••••••••	. 1
	A.	Intro	duction	
	В.	Objec	Ψωστοι:	
	Č.	Compe	tive	. 2
	0.	1.	und	. 3 . 3 . 3
		2.	Test Material	. 3
	D.		Dosages	
	E.	Cumma	ds	. 4
	<b>L</b> •	Jumma 1.	ry	. 4
	-	2.	Host-Mediated Assay	. 4
		۷.	Cytogenetics	
			a. <u>In vivo</u>	•
		3.	b. In vitro	
	F.		Dominant Lethal	
	• •	l.	ts and Discussion	
		1.	Toxicity Data - Test I	
			a. <u>In vivo</u>	5
			b. <u>In vitro</u>	5
	;	2.	c. Toxicity data sheets	7
		۷.	Host-Mediated Assay - Test I	11
			<ul> <li>Host-mediated assay summary sheets.</li> </ul>	13
		2	b. Host-mediated assay data sheets	15
		3.	Toxicity Data - Test II	43
			a. Acute - Rat	43
			b. Acute - Mouse	43
	•	4.	C. Toxicity data sheets	44
	•	7.	Host-Mediated Assay - Test II	46
			a. Host-mediated assay summary sheets.  b. Host-mediated assay data sheets	47
		5.	The state of the s	50
		J.	Cytogenetics - Test I	71
			a. <u>In vivo</u>	71
		•	b. <u>In vitro</u>	71
		6.	c. Cytogenetic summary sheets	72
		0.	Cytogenetics - Test II	
		7.	Cytogenetic summary sheets	77
		/ •	Dominant Lethal Study - Test I	80
			a. Acute study	80
				80
			A SOULTHWILL LECTION GOOD SOUTHING LA	
		8.	sheets	81
		0.	Dominant Lethal Study - Test II	- 98
			Dominant lethal assay summary	
			sheets	99
I.	MATERIA	ALS AND	METHODS	116
	Α.			
	734	l.	Husbandry	116
		2.	Animals (Rats and Mice)	116
		3.	Preparation of Diet	116
		J.	Husbandry	116



# TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

				Page	No
ų.	MATERI	ALS AND	METHODS (continued)		-
	В.	Dosage	Determination	. 116	, w
		1.	Acute LD50 and LD5 Determination	. 116	
		2.	Subacute Studies	. 118	
	C.	Mutager	nicity Testing Protocols		
		1.	Host-Mediated Assay		
			a. Acute study	. 119	
			b. Subacute study		
			c. In vitro study		
	m1	2.	Cytogenetic Studies		
			a. In vivo study	. 122	
			b. In vitro study	. 124	
		3.	Dominant Lethal Assay	. 126	
	D.	Supplem	mentary Materials and Methods	. 127	
		1.	Host-Mediated Assay In Vitro and Formulae.	. 127	
			a. Bacterial in vitro plate tests	. 127	
			b. In vitro for mitotic recombination.	. 127	
	:		c. Minimal medium (bacteria)	. 128	
			d. Complete medium (bacteria)	. 129	
			e. Complete medium (yeast)	. 129	
		2.	Cytogenetics <u>In Vitro</u> Preparation of		
			Anaphase Chromosomes	. 130	
		3.	Statistical Analyses of Dominant Lethal		
			Studies	. 131	
			a. The fertility index		
			b. Total number of implantations	. 131	
			c. Total number of corpora lutea	. 131	
			d. Preimplantation losses	. 131	
			e. Dead implants	. 132	
			f. One or more dead implants	132	
			g. Two or more dead implants	. 132	
	_		h. Dead implants per total implants		
	E.	Referen			
	•		Host-Mediated Assay		
		2.	Cytogenetics	135	
	r	3.	Dominant Lethal	136	



### I. REPORT

### A. <u>Introduction</u>

Litton Bionetics, Inc. (LBI) has investigated the possible mutagenicity of compounds selected and provided by the Food and Drug Admin- istration under Contract 71-268. LBI's investigation utilized the three mammalian test systems herein described -- Host-Mediated Assay, Cytogenetic Studies and Dominant Lethal Assay. These tests provide information as to the types of genetic damage caused by environmental compounds -- pesticides, chemicals, food additives, drugs and cosmetics.

The Host-Mediated Assay is based upon the assumption that the action of a mutagen on the genetics of bacteria is similar to that in man. This is further strengthened by the use of an eukaryotic organism (Saccharomyces cerevisiae). Since the mutation frequencies are well established for the indicator organism, any deviation due to the action of the test compound is readily detectable. As some compounds are mutagenic in bacteria and not in the host animal, and vice versa, this test is able to differentiate an action which may have been due to hosts' ability to detoxify or potentiate a suspected mutagen. This action is dependent upon the ability of the compound to gain access to the peritoneal cavity. Coupled with the direct action of the compound on the indicator organism in vitro, the assay provides a clear insight into host-mediation of mutagenicity.

Cytogenetics provides a valuable tool for the direct observation of chromosomal damage in somatic cells. Alteration of the chromosome number and/or form in somatic cells may be an index of mutation. These studies utilized examination of bone marrow cells arrested in C-metaphase from rats exposed to the test compound as compared to positive and negative control animals. If mutational



changes occur, the types of damage expected due to the action of chemicals are structural rearrangements, breaks and other forms of damage to the chromosomal complement of the cells exposed.

For the <u>in vitro</u> cytogenetic studies, we have a more rapid and inexpensive means of determining chromosomal damage. This is accomplished by observing cells in anaphase. As the chromatids separate and move along the spindle, aberrations may occur. Chromatids which do not migrate to the daughter cells may lead to uneven distribution of parts or of entire chromatids (mitotic nondysjunction). These give rise to "side arm" bridges which have been interpreted as point stickiness or localized failures of chromosome duplication point errors. These aberrations (bridges, pseudochiasmata, multipolar cells, acentric fragments, etc.) are extremely sensitive indicators of genetic damage.

The Dominant Lethal Test is an accurate and sensitive measure of the amount and type of fetal wastage which may occur following administration of a potential mutagen. Dominant lethal mutations are indicators of lethal genetic lesions. The effects of mutagens on the chromosomal complement of the spermatozoa of treated males results in alterations of form and number of chromosomes. Structural rearrangements and aneuploidy may lead to the production of non-viable zygotes, early and late fetal deaths, abortions and congenital malformations. In addition, aberrations could lead to sterility or reduced reproductive capacity of the F<sub>1</sub> generation. The action of a mutagen on specific portions of spermatogenesis is also apparent in this test.

# B. <u>Objective</u>

The purpose of these studies is to determine any mutagenic effect of the test compound by employing the Host-Mediated Assay, Cytogenetic Studies



and the Dominant Lethal Assay, both <u>in vivo</u> and <u>in vitro</u> tests are employed with the cytogenetic and microbial test systems. These tests and their descriptions are referenced in the Appendices A through F.

### C. Compound

#### 1. Test Material

Compound FDA 71-38, Methylparaben, Lot Number 1674K, as supplied by the Food and Drug Administration.

#### 2. Dosages

The animals employed, the determination of the dosage levels and the route of administration are contained in the technical discussion.

The dosage levels employed for compound FDA 71-38 are as follows for the Cytogenetic Studies  $\underline{\text{in vivo}}$  in rats.

	Test I <sup>+</sup>	Test II+
Low Level	5.0 mg/kg	***
Intermediate Level	50.0 mg/kg	
LD5 Negative Control	500.0 mg/kg Saline	5000.0 mg/kg Saline
Positive Control (TEM*)	0.3 mg/kg	0.3 mg/kg

The dosage levels employed for compound FDA 71-38 are as follows for the Host-Mediated Assay  $\underline{in}$   $\underline{vivo}$  in mice.

	Test I <sup>+</sup>	Test II <sup>+</sup>
Low Level Intermediate Level	5.0 mg/kg 50.0 mg/kg	
LD5	500.0 mg/kg	5000.0 mg/kg (acute)
Negative Control	Saline	3500.0 mg/kg (subacute) Saline (acute & subacute)
Positive Control (EMS**) (DMM***)	350 mg/kg 100 <b>m</b> g/kg	Corn Oil (subacute) 350 mg/kg 100 mg/kg

<sup>\*</sup> Triethylene Melamine

<sup>+</sup> These two tests were performed at different time intervals.



<sup>\*\*</sup> Ethyl Methane Sulfonate

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Dimethyl Nitrosamine

The dosage levels employed for compound FDA 71-38 are as follows for the Dominant Lethal Assay in vivo in rats.

	Test I <sup>+</sup>	Test II+
Low Level	5.0 mg/kg	
Intermediate Level	50.0 mg/kg	**
LD <sub>5</sub> Negative Control	500.0 mg/kg Saline	5000.0 mg/kg Saline
Positive Control (TEM*)	0.3 mg/kg	0.3 mg/kg

The <u>in vitro</u> Cytogenetic Studies were performed employing three logarithmic dose levels.

Low Level	1.0 mcg/ml
Medium Level	10.0 mcg/m1
High Level	100.0 mcg/ml
Negative Control	Saline
Positive Control (TEM*)	0.1 mcg/ml

The discussion of this test is contained in the technical discussion.

# D. <u>Methods</u>

The protocols employed are explained in Appendices C and D.

### E. Summary

# 1. Host-Mediated Assay

This compound caused no significant increases in mutant or recombinant frequencies with <u>Salmonella</u> TA-1530 and G-46 or <u>Saccharomyces</u> D3, respectively. The <u>in vitro</u> tests were negative.

# 2. Cytogenetics

# a. <u>In vivo</u>

The compound produced no detectable significant aberration of the bone marrow metaphase chromosomes of rats when administered orally at the dosage levels employed in this study.



<sup>\*</sup>Triethylene Melamine

<sup>+</sup>These two tests were performed at different time intervals.

### b. <u>In vitro</u>

The compound produced no significant aberration in the anaphase chromosomes of human tissue culture cells when tested at the dosage levels employed in this study.

#### 3. Dominant Lethal

This compound was considered to be non-mutagenic in rats in the Dominant Lethal Assay when using the dosages employed in this study.

### F. Results and Discussion

1. Toxicity Data - Test I

### a. In vivo

Compound FDA 71-38 was suspended in 0.85% saline and administered to ten male rats by intubation. The average weight of the animals was 250 grams and each received a dose of 5000 mg/kg. All animals were found dead within 24 hours.

Dosage levels of 100, 500, 1000, 2000, 3000 and 4000 mg/kg were selected to determine an acute  $\rm LD_{50}$ . The toxicity data is presented on the  $\rm LD_{50}$  reporting form using the Litchfield-Wilcoxson method.

The  ${\rm LD}_{50}$  was determined as 2100 mg/kg. The  ${\rm LD}_5$  dose level was derived from the probit line. The dose levels used were  ${\rm LD}_5$  - 500 mg/kg, intermediate - 50 mg/kg and low - 5 mg/kg. The data on the dose levels, numbers of animals and necropsy findings are presented in the toxicity data sheets.

# b. <u>In vitro</u>

The compound was suspended in 0.85% saline at the concentrations listed above. It was introduced into tubes containing WI-38 cells in a logarithmic phase of growth. The cells were observed for cytopathic effect (CPE) and the presence of mitoses at 24 and 48 hours.



Tube No.	No. of Cells	Conc. mcg/ml	CPE	Mitoses
1	5 X 10 <sup>5</sup>	1000	+	•
2	н .	1000	+	-
3	**	500	+	· .
4	•	500	+	-
5	•	100	• .	• •
6		100	-	+
7	u	50	•	+
8	44	50	-	+
9		10	***	+
10		10		<b>+</b>

Since an inhibition of mitoses was observed, a closer range of concentrations was employed as follows.

. 1	5	X	10 <sup>5</sup>	500	+		
2		64		500	+	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•
3		80		400	+		
4		**		400	+		
5		11		300	+		
6		at		300			• *
7		#1	•	200	-	1	+
8		*		200	+	1.	+
9	: :	14		100	-	1	+ /
10		. 41		100	_	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	+ - 1

The 100 mcg/ml concentration was used as the high level, 10 mcg/ml as the intermediate level and 1.0 mcg/ml as the low level.



C. TOXICITY DATA SHEETS

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-38

METHYLPARABEN

TEST I



# TOXICITY DATA COMPOUND FDA 71-38

Solvent:

0.85% saline

Dosage Form: Suspension

Animals:

Male rats with an average body weight of 250 grams. All

animals were observed for ten (10) days.

### Range Finding:

	Dose mg/kg	# Dead # Animals	Day of Death and Necropsy
	<b>500</b> 0	10/10	Day 1 (10): Reddened stomach lining; lung congested.
LD <sub>50</sub> :			
	100	0/5	None
	500	0/5	None
	1000	1/5	Day 3 (1): Reddened stomach lining; lung congested.
	2000	2/5	Day 2 (2): Reddened stomach lining; lung congested.
	3000	4/5	Day 1 (3) and Day 2 (1): Reddened stomach lining; lung congested.
•	4000	4/5	Day 1 (4): Reddened stomach lining; lung congested.



# LD50 REPORTING FORM USING LITCHFIELD-WILCOMON METHOD

DOSE EFFECT CURVE FOR \_\_\_\_ Compound FDA 71-38 Methylparaben

DOSE	PROPORTION	ODSERVED PERCENT	EXPECTED	OES-EMPO ( PERCENO	CONTRIB. TO (chi) 2
500	0/5	0	1		
1,000	1/5	20	14		
2,000	. 2/5	40	48		
3,000	4/5	80	71		. ·
4,000	4/5	80	84	•	
•		•	•		
		<del>}</del>			

Total animals = 25

Number Doses, K = 5

(CHI) 2 = .608

Animals/Doss = 5

Decrees of Freedom, n=k-2= 3

(CHI) 2 for n of k-2 = 7.81

since ...608 is less than 7.81, therafore data not significantly betarogeneous

 $LD_{84} = 4.000$   $LD_{50} = 2.100$   $LD_{16} = 1.100$ 

 $fLD_{50} = 8 2.77 = (1.907) 2.77 = (1.907) 2.77 = (1.907) 715 = 1.59$ 


 $LD_{50} \times feb_{50} = (2,100)(1.59) = 3,339 \sim 3340$ 

 $LD_{50} = \frac{(2,100)/(1.59)}{1,320.7} = 1,320.7 = 1,320$ 

fLD50

LD50 and 19/20 Confidence Limits = Pr {1,320 = LD\_E0 = 3,340} = .95

Attached should be a plot of the dose-effect curve on log-probit paper.



# 2. Host-Mediated Assay - Test I

Compound FDA 71-38 caused no significant increases in mutant or recombinant frequencies with <u>Salmonella</u> TA-1530 and G-46 or <u>Saccharomyces</u> D3, respectively at the dose levels tested. The <u>in vitro</u> tests were also negative.



Compound: 71-38 Methylparaben

		•		
		]	In Vivo	
		Possible		
Indicator Strain	In Vitro	Low Recoveries	Controls	Other Comments
TA-1530	pos.	NC	NC OK	1. All doses negative
NC, PC, Acutes		PC	i.	
(7/ <b>2</b> 4/72)		AL	PC OK	
	( neg.)	AI		
SANC, S-acutes		AH	SANC OK	
(7/28/72)		SANC		
		SAL	•	
		SAI		
		SAH		
			$I_{ij} = I_{ij}$	
		4		
G-46				
NC,PC,Acutes		NC	NC OK	1. All acute doses negative
(8/7/72)	pos.	PC		2. Results from S-acutes
(0)111121		AL'.	PC OK	also appear negative
•	nega	AI		although frequencies
SANC, S-acutes		AH	SANC OK	for SAL and SAI are
(8/11/72)		CANC		slightly elevated by
, , , ,	•	(AD) 125 (2.15)		low recoveries (2.15 as
	•	(A) (.76 (1.9)	<b>)</b>	1.91 respectively)
		SAH		1.71 lespectively)
<b>D</b> 3				
NC,PC,Acutes		NC	NC OK	1. All doses negative
	pos.	PC .		
(7/10/72)		AL	PC OK	•
	neg.	AI		
SANC,S-acutes		. HA	SANC OK	
(7/14/72)		SANC		
• •		SAL		
		SAI	<del>.</del>	
•		SAH	• •	
		SAIT	ga et	

Summary: Except for two low recoveries in the G-46 subacute trials all data are acceptable. I would accept the G-46 subacutes the because the data from animal to animal in the trials is consistent and because the reversion frequencies are slightly elevated but not impossible to interpret (both are negative). Composed is regate in the first remains

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-38

METHYLPARABEN

TEST I



HOST MEDIATED ASSAY

SUMMARY SHEET

COMPOUND: FDA 71-38	A 71-38 TA1530	SALMONELLA	VELLA G-46		SACCHAROMYCES D-3	ES 0-3
	MMF (X 10E-8)	MFT/MFC	MMF (X 10E-8)	MFT/MFC	MRF (X 10E-5)	MRT/WRC
A PC CUTE	.61 1.01	12.18 1.66	17.67	22,09	00 00 4 00 00 4 00 00 4 00 00 4 00 00 4	1.0.4 1.0.4 1.0.4
ALDS	1.24	2.03	- 40	<b>49</b>	6.55	1.25
SUBACUTE NC SL SI SLD5	1	1.021	2.15 1.91 90	1.00 to 1.00 t	24.00 10.00 10.00 10.00	
IN VITRO	TA1530	9 1 1 +	% CONC 1.0 -	D-3 % SURVIVAL 87.0 100.0 68.8	R X 10ES 8 5 267	ທ

STOP

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-38

METHYLPARABEN

TEST I



COMBOOND:	FDA 71-38		ORGANISM: SAL	MONELLA JA1530
DOSE LEVE	L: NEGATIVE CO	TROL - SALINE	(ACUTE TRIALS)	
TREATMENT	: IN VIVO, ORAL	- ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	JULY 24, 1972
en de trada de la companya de la co	A see		C	D
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML		TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	28.50 56.94 18.42 55.98 21.72 38.70 14.10 29.22 22.56 32.28	4.75 9.49 3.07 9.33 3.52 6.45 2.35 4.87 3.76 5.38	3.00 1.00 4.00 2.00 2.00 3.00 1.00 4.00 3.00	.63 .11 1.30 .21 .55 .47 .43 .82 .80 .74
NO. OF AN	MALS, EQUALS	10	•	
	MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	COL. B (X 19E8) 5.31 7.14 9.49 2.35	COL. C (X 10E0) 2.70 3.00 4.00 1.00	COL. D (X 10E-8) .61 1.20 1.30
	*	SUMMARY WITH O	UTLIERS REMOVED	),
	MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	COL. B (X 1028) 5.56 7.14 9.49 2.35	COL. C (X 10E0) 2.56 3.00 4.00	COL. D (X 10E-8) .53 .72 .82 .11

STOP

COMPOUND: FDA 71-38	ORGANISM: SALMONELLA TA1530
---------------------	-----------------------------

DOSE LEVEL: POSITIVE CONTROL - DMN - 100 MG/KG

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE DATE STARTED: JULY 24, 1972

	<b>A</b>	<b></b>	C	. D
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8
1	20.28	3.38	29.00	8.58
· 2	19.74	3.29	24.00	7.29
3	# 88·18	14.70	26.00	1.77
. 4	21.18	3.53	23.00	6.52
- <b>-</b> -	19.50	3.25	38.00	11.69
6	17.22	2.87	18.00	6.27
7	19.14	3.19	24.00	7.52
Á	12.36	2.06	21.00	10.19
9	16.98	2.83	26.00	9.19
10	32.88	5.48	29.00	5.29
NO. OF A	NIMALS EQUALS	10	and the second s	
		COL. B	COL. C	COL. D

COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
4.46	25.80	7.43
12.64	20.00	9.92
14.70	38.00	11.69
2.06	18.00	1.77
	(X 10E8) 4.46 12.64 14.70	(X 10E8) (X 10E0) 4.46 25.80 12.64 20.00 14.70 38.00

### \* SUMMARY WITH OUTLIERS REMOVED

COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
3.32	25.78	8.06
3.42	20.00	6.40
5.48	38.00	11.69
2.06	18.00	5.29
	(X 10E8) 3.32 3.42 5.48	(X 10E8) (X 10E0) 3.32 25.78 3.42 20.00 5.48 38.00

COMPOUND:	FDA 71-38		ORGANISM: SAL	MONELLA TA153
DOSE LEVE	L: LOW - 5 MG/	<b>(G</b>	Alberta Taraye are trayers.	e de la companya de La companya de la co
TREATMENT	: IN VIVO, ORAL	. ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	JULY 24. 197
ANIMAL	A RAW CFU X	B Total CFU X	C Total NO. Mutants X	D MUTATION FRE (C/B)
NUMBER	10E7/0.6ML	10E8/1.0ML	10E0/1.0ML	X 10E-8
1 2	16.74 21.72	2.79 3.62	4.00 3.00	1.43 .83
3 4	14.10 19.02	2.35 3.17	1.00 2.00	•43 •63
5 6	17.34	2.89	1.00	•35
6 7	19.02 14.28	3.17 2.38	2.00 8.00	•63 3•36
8	17.22	2.87	2.00	· •70
9 10	12.84 19.14	2.14 3.19	3.00 1.00	1.40 .31
NO. OF AN	IMALS EQUALS	10		
•• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		COL. B (X 10E8)	COL. C (X 10E0)	COL. D (X 10E-8)
	MEAN RANGE	2.86 1.48	2.70 7.00	1.01 3.05
	MAX	3.62	8.00	<b>3.</b> 05
	MIN	2.14	1.00	•31

	COL. B (X 10E8)	COL. C (X 10E0)	COL. D (X 10E-8)
MEAN	2.91	2.11	•75
RANGE	1.48	3.00	1.12
MAX	3.62	4.00	1.43
MIN	2.14	1.00	•31

COMPOUND: FDA 71-38	ORGANISM: SALMONELLA TA1530
COMPOUND: FUA /1-38	UKGANISMA SALMUNELLA LAISSI

DOSE LEVEL: INTERMEDIATE - 50 MG/KG

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE DATE STARTED: JULY 24, 1972

	A	В	C	•
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8
1	17.34	2.89	4.00	1.38
2	17.94	2.99	1.00	.33
3	15.54	2.59	1.00	•39
4	15.30	2.55	3.00	1.18
5	16.50	2.75	6.00	2.18
6	21.72	3.62	2.00	•55
7	17.10	2.85	2.00	•70
8	15.06	2.51	1.00	. 40
9	21.66	3.61	5.00	1.39

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 9
TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS

•	COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
MEAN	2.93	2.78	•94
RANGE	1.11	5.00	1.85
MAX	3.62	6.00	2.18
MIN	2.51	1.00	•33

#### \* SUMMARY WITH OUTLIERS REMOVED

	COL. B	COL. C	COL. D	
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)	
MEAN	2.95	2.38	• 79	
RANGE	1.11	4.00	1.05	
MAX	3.62	5.00	1.39	
MIN	2.51	1.00	•33	

	COMPOUND: F	FDA 71-38		ORGANISM: SALI	MONELLA TA1530
D	DOSE LEVEL	LD5 - 500 M	G/KG		e de la companya de l
+	TREATMENT:	IN VIVO, ORA	L. ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	JULY 24, 1972
P		<b>A</b>	В	C	D
£	ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8
2	1 2 3	21.30 21.72 16.38	3.55 3.62	4.00 5.00	1.13 1.38
2	4 5 6	23.52 19.50 19.38	2.73 3.92 3.25 3.23	3.00 5.00 7.00 1.00	1.10 1.28 2.15
P	7 8 9	17.94 15.90 15.40	2.99 2.65 2.57	1.00 4.00 5.00	.31 .33 1.51 1.95
P	NO. OF ANIM	ALS EQUALS OUT OF RANGE E	9 EQUALS 1		
<b>3</b>	and the same and the	MEAN	COL. B (X 10E8)	COL. C (X 10E0)	COL. D (X 10E-8)
p. Think	t turner	RANGE MAX	3.17 1.35 3.92	3.89 6.00 7.00	1.24 1.84 2.15
L F <u>t</u> op	NO OUTLIERS	MIN	2.57	1.00	• <b>31</b>

COMPO	(NID :	EDA	71-38
LUMPU	JINU	- CUA	/ 4 - 30

ORGANISM: SALMONELLA TA1530

DOSE LEVEL: NEGATIVE CONTROL - SALINE (SUBACUTE TRIALS)

TREATMENT: IN VIVO. ORAL, ACUTE DATE STARTED: JULY 28, 1972

	<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	C	D
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8
over <del>an</del> are one ment of the con-			<del>-</del>	
1	33.78	5.63	4.00	.71
2	13.92	2.32	3.00	1.29
3	14.88	2.48	1.00	•40
4	23.64	3.94	3.00	.76
5	16.98	2.83	4.00	1.41
6	21.90	3.65	1.00	.27
7	26.22	4.37	2.00	•46
8	14.28	2.38	1.00	.42
9	28.38	4.73	4.00	•85
10	39.18	6.53	3.00	.46
			the second second second	

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS

		CQL. B	COL. C	COL. D	
		(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)	
	MEAN	3.89	2.60	•70	
	RANGE	4.21	3.00	1.14	
	MAX	6.53	4.00	1.41	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	MIN	2.32	1.00	.27	
NO QUILLIERS	1111				

		et		
COMPOUND:	FDA 71-38	•	ORGANISM: SAL	MONELLA TA1530
DOSE LEVE	L: LOW - 5 MG/	KG		
TREATMENT	: IN VIVO, ORAI	. SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED:	JULY 28, 1972
en e	<b>A</b>	В	C	D
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8
	19.38 12.54 20.94 13.14 23.10 13.50 22.74 21.30 19.26 IMALS EQUALS	3.23 2.09 3.49 2.19 3.85 2.25 3.79 3.55 3.21	3.00 1.00 5.00 2.00 3.00 2.00 3.00 3.00	.93 .48 1.43 * .91 .78 .89 .79 .85 .62
NOT OF DE	MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	COL. B (X 10E8) 3.07 1.76 3.85 2.09	COL. C (X 10E0) 2.67 4.00 5.00	COL. D (X 10E-8) .85 .95 1.43
		SUMMARY WITH C	UTLIERS REMOVED	
entre de la companya de la companya En la companya de la	MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	COL. 8 (X 10E8) 3.02 1.76 3.85 2.09	COL. C (X 10E0) 2.38 2.00 3.00 1.00	COL. D (X 10E-8) .78 .45 .93

COMPOUND: FDA	71-38	ORGANISM:	SALMONELLA	TA1530

DOSE LEVEL: INTERMEDIATE - 50 MG/KG

TREATMENT: IN VIVO. ORAL. SUBACUTE DATE STARTED: JULY 28, 1972

	A	8	C	Ð
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8
1	12.78	2.13	1.00	•47
2	21.54	3.59	4.00	1.11
3	19.14	3.19	2.00	•63
4	24.18	4.03	3.00	74
5	18.30	3.05	1.00	•33
6	43.68	7.28	1.00	•14
7	29.34	4.89	2.00	.41
8	19.74	3.29	1.00	•30
9	46.14	7.69	2.00	•26

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 9

	10E-8)
MEAN 4.35 1.89	•49
RANGE 5.56 3.00	•98
MAX 7.69 4.00	1.11
MIN 2.13 1.00	•14

### \* SUMMARY WITH OUTLIERS REMOVED

	COL. B (X 10E8)	COL. C (X 10E0)	COL. D (X 10E-8)
MEAN	4.44	1.63	•41
RANGE	5.56	2.00	•61
MAX	7.69	3.00	•74
MIN	2.13	1.00	•14

COMPOUND:	FDA 71-38		ORGANISM: SALI	MONELLA TA1530
DOSE LEVE	L: LD5 - 500 M	S/KG		
TREATMENT	: IN VIVO, ORAL	- SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED:	JULY 28, 1972
	<b>.A</b> 2	В	C	D
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	22.38 18.72 18.54 18.00 46.02 56.10 18.90 13.36 15.90 25.26	3.73 3.12 3.09 3.00 7.67 9.35 3.15 2.56 2.65 4.21	9.00 10.00 4.00 3.00 3.00 4.00 5.00 2.00 1.00 3.00	2.41 3.21 1.29 1.00 .39 .43 1.59 .78 .38
NO. OF AN	IMALS EQUALS	10		•
	MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	COL. B (X 10E8) 4.25 6.79 9.35 2.56	COL. C (X 10E0) 4.40 9.00 10.00	COL. D (X 10E-8) 1.22 2.83 3.21

				•
COMPOUNDS	FDA 71-38		ORGANISM; SAL	MONELLA 6-46 .
DOSE LEVE	L: NEGATIVE CON	TROL - SALINE		
TREATMENT	; IN VIVO, ORAL	. ACUTE	DATE STARTED!	AUGUST 7, 1972
animal Number	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	B TOTAL CFU X Toeb/1.0ML	C TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	D MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E+8
1 2 5 6 7 8 9	43,86 45,90 49,38 33,78 46,02 28,82 29,58 25,68 29,34	7.31 7.65 8.23 5.63 7.67 4.80 4,93 4.28	4.00 6.00 7.00 3.00 4.00 7.00 5.00	.55 .78 .85 .53 .52 .83 1.42
NO. OF AN	IMALS EQUALS ITH ZERO MUTANT	9		
	MEAN RANGE, MAX. MIN	COL. B (X 10E8) 6.15 3.95 8.23 4.28	COL. C (X 10E0) 4.78 4.00 7.00 3.00	COL. D (X 10E-8) .80 .90 1,42 .52
			UTLIERS REMOVE	
	MEAN	COL. B (X 10E8) 6.31	COL. C (X 10E0) 4.50	COL. D (X 10E-8)

	* *			
COMPOUND:	FDA 71-38		ORGANISM: SAL	MONELLA G-46
DOSE LEVEL	.: POSITIVE CO	NTROL - DMN -	LOO MG/KG	
TREATMENT	IN VIVO. ORA	L. ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	AUGUST 7, 1972
والمراد المتعدد والمتعدد	<u>.</u>	В	C	D .
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8
1 2 3	<b>39.48</b> <b>38.58</b> <b>25.</b> 50	6.58 6.43 4.25	84.00 118.00 48.00	12.77 18.35
4	38.10 47.34 25.38	6.35 7.89 4.23	78.00 108.00 97.00	11.29 12.28 13.69 22.93
5 6 7 8 9	25.26 23.64 58.02	4.21 3.94 9.67	49.00 417.00 92.00	11.64 29.69
10	15.30	2.55	88.00	9.51 34.51
NO. OF ANI	MALS EQUALS	10		
	MEAN	COL. B (X 10E8) 5.61	COL. C (X 10E0) 87.90	COL. D (X 10E-8) 17.67
NO OUTLIER	RANGE MAX MIN	7.12 9.67 2.55	70.00 118.00 48.00	25.00 34.51 9.51

NO OUTLIERS

004004400		000.117-114	CAL MANUEL 1	
COMPOUND:	PUA /ITOB	UKBANISMI	SALMONELLA	U=40

DOSE LEVEL: LOW - 5 MG/KG

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE DATE STARTED: AUGUST 7, 1972

	A	В	, c	D
ANIMAL	RAW CFU X	TOTAL CFU'X	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X	MUTATION FRE (C/B)
NUMBER	10E7/0.6ML	10E8/1.0ML	/ 10E0/1.0ML	X 10E-8
1	17.82	2.97	5.00	1.68
2	15.90	2.65	2.00	•75
3	11.34	1.89	7.00	3.70
4	13.62	2.27	5.00	2.20
4 5	12.72	2.12	6.00	2.83
	9.54	1.59	6.00	3.77
6	15.48	2.58	3.00	1.16
·8	30. <del>5</del> 4	5.09	6.00	1.18
9	16.50	2.75	8.00	2.91
10	6.06	1.01	2.00	1.98
NO. OF AN	IMALS EQUALS	10		
	······································	COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
•	24 <b>50</b> A 5 1	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
	MEAN	2.49	5.00	2.22
• •	RANGE	4.08	6.00	3.02
	MAX	5.09	8.00	3.77
NO OUTLIE	MIN	1.01	2.00	•75

VO OUTLIERS

	COMPOUND: FDA 71-38			ORGANISM: SALMONELLA G-46		
	DOSE LEVE	L: INTERMEDIATI	E - 50 MG/KG			
	TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, AC		L. ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	: AUGUST 7, 1972	
		A	В	C	D	
Na, '	ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8	
Nt.	1 2	19.08 15.54	3.18 2.59	1.00	•31 1•16	
•	2 3 4	10.14 31.68	1.69 5.28	1.00 7.00	•59 1•33	
	5 6 7	31.38 17.34 25.41	5.23 2.89 4.24	5.00 1.00 4.00	•96 •35 •94	
<b>b</b> .	8	18.30	3.05	2.00	•66	
<b>■</b> N		IMALS EQUALS Out of Range	8 EQUALS 2			
_			COL. B (X 10E8)	COL. C (X 10E0)	COL. D (X 10E-8)	
		MEAN RANGE	3.52 3.59	3.00 6.00	•79 1•01	
•	and the second s	MAX MIN	5.28 1.69	7.00 1.00	1.33 .31	

ORGANISM: SALMONELLA G-46 COMPOUND: FDA 71-38 DOSE LEVEL: LD5 - 500 MG/KG DATE STARTED: AUGUST 7, 1972 TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE В D TOTAL NO. MUTATION RAW CFU X TOTAL CFU X FRE (C/B) ANIMAL MUTANTS X 10E8/1.0ML X 10E-8 NUMBER 10E7/0.6ML 10E0/1.0ML 27.12 : 4.52 .22 1.00 6.37 38.22 2.00 .31 53.94 8.99 2.00 .22 3.39 4 2.00 20.34 .59 5 19.32 4.00 1.24 6 49.50 3.00 .36 7 29.94 1.00 .20

NO. OF ANIMAL'S EQUALS 9
TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS 1

26-46

18.78

		COL. 8 (x 10E8)	COL. C (x 10E0)	COL. D (x 10E-8)
	MEAN	5.25	2.22	•51
	RANĞE	5.86	3.00	1.04
	MAX	8.99	4.00	1.24
	MIN	3.13	1.00	•20
A ALITE TENÉ				

.45

.96

2.00

3.00

÷	HO31 ME	DIWICD WROW! KI	EFORT SHELT			
СОМРО	COMPOUND: FDA 71-38			ORGANISM: SALMONELLA G-46		
DOSE	DOSE LEVEL: NEGATIVE CONTROL - SALIN		(SUBACUTE TRIALS)			
TREATMENT: IN VIVO. ORAL. AC		L. ACUTE	DATE STARTED	ED: AUGUST 11, 1972		
		<b>B</b> ,	C Total No.	D MUTATION		
ANIMAI NUMBE	** · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	FRE (C/B) X 10E-8		
1	28.50	4.75	4.00	•84		
2	42.06 20.34	7.01 3.39	4.00 2.00	•57		
4	45.66	7.61	6.00	•59 • <b>7</b> 9		
5	32.76	5.46	1.00	.18		
6	29.94	4.99	3.00	•60		
7	34.44	5.74	2.00	•35		
8	21.06	3.51	2.00	•57		
9	2 <b>3.</b> 58	3.93	3.00	•76		
10	, 31.86	5.31	1.00	•19		
NO. 0	F ANIMALS EQUALS	10	and a second of the second of			
		COL. B (X.10E8)	COL. C (X 10E0)	COL. D (X 10E-8)		
	MEAN	5.17	2.80	•54		
	RANGE	4.22	5.00	•66		
	MAX	7.61	6.00	.84		
	MIN	3.39	1.00	•18		
NO OU	TLIERS					

COMPOUND: FDA 71-38			ORGANISM: SALMONELLA G-46		
DOSE LEVE	L: LOW - 5 MG/	KG			
TREATMENT	: IN VIVO, ORAL	L. SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED:	AUGUST 11, 1972	
	, <b>A</b>	В	C	D'	
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8	
1 2	6.42 7.62	1.07	2.00 3.00	1.87 2.36	
2 3 4	8 • 88 6 • 48	1.48 1.08	5.00 3.00	3.38 2.78	
5 6 7	8•10 7•50 7•50	1.35 1.25 1.25	2.00 1.00 3.00	1.48 .80 2.40	
NO. OF CO	IMALS EQUALS NTAMINATED EQUALS OUT OF RANGE E ITH ZERO MUTAN	7 ALS 1 EQUALS 1			
	MEAN	COL. B (X 10E8) 1.25	COL. C (X 10E0) 2.71	COL. D (x 10E-8) 2.15	
	RANGE	.41	4.00	2.58	

MAX MIN

NO OUTLIERS

	and the second s			
COMPOUND:	FDA 71-38		ORGANISM: SALI	MONELLA G-46
DOSE LEVE	L: INTERMEDIAT	E - 50 MG/KG		
TREATMENT	: IN VIVO, ORAL	. SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED:	AUGUST 11, 1972
	A	В	С	D
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8
1 2	6.18 23.82	1.03	3.00 5.00	2.91
2 3 4 5	10.08 8.82 9.54	1.68 1.47 1.59	1.00 3.00 3.00	.60 2.04 1.89
5 6 7 &	11.42 7.98 6.78	1.90 1.33 4.43	3.00 2.00 4.00	1.58 1.50 3.54
	IMALS EQUALS	8 EQUALS 2		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		COL. B (X 10E8)	COL. C (X 10E0)	COL. D (x 10E-8)
	MEAN RANGE MAX	1.76 2.94 3.97	3.00 4.00 5.00	1.91 2.94 3.54
	MIN	1.03	1.00	•60

States			a st en		
·	COMPOUND: F	DA 71-38		ORGANISM: SAL	MONELLA G-46
<b>Mari</b> a	DOSE LEVEL:	LD5 - 500 MG	S/KG		en e
<b>.</b>	TREATMENT:	IN VIVO. ORAL	. SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED:	AUGUST 11: 1972
		<b>A</b>	, <b>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </b>	C TOTAL NO.	D MUTATION
granded	ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X 10EB/1.0ML /	MUTANTS X	FRE (C/B) X 10E-8
egenore.	1 2 3 4 5	6.18 53.94 16.50 15.12 30.42	1.03 8.99 2.75 2.52 5.07	2.00 3.00 1.00 2.00 6.00	1.94 .33 .36 .79 1.18
ik	6 7 8	46.98 16.74 47.70	7.83 2.79 7.95	3.00 4.00 6.00	•38 1•43 •75
ggende.		MALS EQUALS Dut of Range e Th Zero Mutan			
	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	MEAN RANGE	COL. B (X 10E8) 4.87 7.96 8.99	COL. C (X 10E0) 3.38 5.00 6.00	COL. D (x 10E-8) .90 1.61 1.94
; ; •	NO OUTLIERS	MAX MIN	1.03	1.00	•33
THID 777	ILLG I-FORM				
_KBACK	LIST ITEM A		NPUT FIELD IS		
.JGRAM	ENTRY LI	NE ADDRESS	CALLER LINE	AUUKESS	

001042

007317

SFIO

SFIO

COMPOUND:	FDA 71-38		ORGANISM: SAC	CHAROMYCES D-3
DOSE LEVE	L: NESATIVE C	ONTROL - SALINE	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
TREATMENT	: IN VIVO, OR	AL. ACUTE	DATE STARTED	JULY 10. 1972
ANIMAL, NUMBER	A RAW CFU X 1055/1.0ML	TOTAL CFU SCREENED X 10E5/1.0ML	C TOTAL RECOMBINANTS /1.0ML	D RECOMB/CFU SCREENED X 10E-5
1234567890	415.00 240.00 493.00 271.00 436.00 218.00 253.00 440.00 287.00	42 24 49 27 44 22 44 29 40	2,00 1,00 3,00 1,00 2,00 1,00 2,00 3,00 1,00 2,00	4.82 4.17 6.09 3.69 4.59 4.59 7.91 6.82 3.48 5.04
TOTAL,		3,45	18.00	
NO. OF AN	IMALS EQUALS	10		
MEAN, C/ME	AN B =	5.22		
NO OUT! IF	MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	COL. B (X 10E5) .34 .28 .49	COL. C (X 10E0) 1.80 2.00 3.00 1.00	COL. D (X 10E-5) 5.12 4.42 7.91 3.48

NO OUTLIERS -

Тор

		<u>.</u>	
COMPOUND: FDA 71-38		ORGANISM: SAC	CHAROMYCES D-3
DOSE LEVEL: POSITIVE	CONTROL, - EMS, -	350 MG/KG I.M.	
TREATMENT: IN VIVO. 0			JULY 10, 1972
A ANIMAL RAW CFU X NUMBER 10E5/1.0ML		C TOTAL RECOMBINANTS /1.0ML	RECOMB/CFU SCREENED X 10E-5
1 279.00 2 368.00 3 217.00 4 243.00 5 723.00 6 253.00 7 411.00 8 490.00 9 246.00	.28 .37 .22 .25 .72 .25 .41 .49	10.00 14.00 14.00 36.00 47.00 13.00 18.00 26.00	35.84 38.04 64.52 145.16 65.01 51.38 43.80 53.06 60.98
TOTAL,	3,23	193,00	•
NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS	S 9 EQUALS 1	en e	
MEAN, C/MEAN, B. =	59.66		•
MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	COL. B (X 10E5) .36 .51 .72 .22	COL. C (X 10E0) 21.44 37.00 47.00	COL. D (X 105-5) 61.98 109.32 145.16 35.84
	* SUMMARY WITH	OUTLIERS REMOVE	ED
MEAN CYMEAN B =	52.56		
MEAN RANGE MAX	COL. B (X 10E5) .37 .51 .72 .22	COL. C (X 10E0) 19.63 37.00 47.00	COL. D (X 10E-5) 51.58 29.16 65.01 35.84

		44		
COMPOUND	FDA 71-38		ORGANISM: SAC	CHAROMYCES D-
DOSE LEVE	EL: LOW - 5 MG/	′KG	and the second second	
TREATMENT	T: IN VIVO, ORA	AL, ACUTE	DATE STARTED	JULY 10. 1972
	<b>.</b>	В	C	D
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E5/1.0ML	TOTAL CFU SCREENED X 10E5/1.0ML	TOTAL RECOMBINANTS /1.0ML	RECOMB/CFU SCREENED X 10E-5
1 2	<b>562.</b> 00 <b>351.</b> 00	•56 •35	4.00 1.00	7.12 2.85
2	337.00	•34	1.00	2.97
4	422.00	•42	3.00	7.11
4 5 6 7	700.00	•70	4.00 2.00	5.71 3.02
6	663•00 648•00	•66 •65	5.00	7.72
8	500.00	•50	1.00	2.00
9	483.00	•48	3.00	6.21
TOTAL		4.67	24.00	
NO. OF A	NIMALS EQUALS REENED OUT OF I	9 RANGE EQUALS		
MEAN C/M	EAN B =	5.14		
	,	COL. B (X 10E5)	COL. C (X 10E0)	COL. D (x 10E-5)
and the second s	MEAN	•52	2.67	4.97
	RANGE MAX	•36 •70	4.00 5.00	5•72 ° 7•72
	MAN	.34	1.00	2.00

<u> </u>	COMPOUND: FDA 71-38			ORGANISM: SAC	CHAROMYCES D-3
		L: INTERMEDIA	• •		
(L		: IN VIVO, OR		DATE STARTED:	JULY 10, 1972
		A	B TOTAL CFU	C TOTAL	D RECOMB/CFU
<b>n</b>	ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E5/1.0ML	SCREENED X 10E5/1.0ML	RECOMBINANTS /1.0ML	SCREENED X
		224.00	•22 /	1.00	4.46
	2 3 4	913.00 492.00 553.00	•91 •49 •55	3.00 1.00 2.00	3•29 2•03 3•62
	5 6 7	947.00 268.00	•95 •27	3.00 2.00	3.17 7.46 *
	8	473.00 349.00	•47 •35	1.00 1.00	2.11 2.87
	9 10	441.00 745.00	•44 •74	2.00 2.00	4.54 2.68
	TOTAL		5.40	18.00	
Beart -	NO. OF AN	IMALS EQUALS	10		
<b>I</b>	MEAN C/ME	AN B =	3.33		
		MEAN	COL. B (X 10E5) .54	COL. C (X 10E0) 1.80	COL. D (X 10E-5) 3.62
		RANGE MAX MIN	•72 •95 •22	2.00 3.00 1.00	5.43 7.46 2.03
			<b>V.2.</b>		2000
Π			* SUMMARY WITH	OUTLIERS REMOVE	D
U	MEAN C/ME	AN B =	3.11		
	. 2	MEAN	COL. B (X 10E5) •57	COL. C (X 10E0) 1.78	COL. D (X 10E-5) 3.20
[]_ STO		RANGE MAX MIN	•72 •95 •22	2.00 3.00 1.00	2.50 4.54 2.03

COMPOUND: FDA 71-38

ORGANISM: SACCHAROMYCES D-3

				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	DOSE LEVE	L: LD5 - 500	MG/KG		
	+DE A TMENIS	r: IN VIVO, OR	AL ACUTE	DATE STARTED	LY 10. 19
	INCATMENT	I TH ATAON OR	ALF ACOIL	DUIL DIVISIEN	. 005,1, 107 1.
		A	B Total Cfu	C TOTAL	D RECOMB/CFU
	ANIMAL	RAW CFU X	SCREENED X	RECOMBINANTS	SCREENED X
	NUMBER	10E5/1.0ML	10E5/1.0ML	/1.0ML	10E-5
					•
	1	400.00	•40	4.00	10.00
	2 3	251.00	• 25	4.00	15.94
		548.00	• 55	6.00	10.95
	4	800.00	•80	3.00	3.75
	5 6	<b>332.</b> 00 <b>659.</b> 00	• 33 • 66	2.00 6.00	6.02 9.10
	7	442.00	•44	2.00	4.52
	8	697.00	•70	2.00	2.87
	9	203.00	•20	1.00	4.93
	10	400.00	•40	1.00	2.50
			'		
	TOTAL		4.73	31.00	
	NO. OF A	NIMALS EQUALS	10		
	MEAN C/M	EAN B = Jan an jugan	6.55	en e	
			COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
			(X 10E5)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-5
		MEAN	• 47	3.10	7.06
		RANGE	•60	5.00	13.44
gu e te	ang camana sunggangang mas sun a	MAX		6.00	15.94
		MIN	•20	1.00	2.50
	and the second s	and the second s	* SUMMARY WITH	OUTLIERS REMOVE	ED
	MEAN C/M	EAN B =	6.03		
	•		COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
		e de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la co	(X 10E5)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-5
			•50	3.00	6.07
		MEAN	1.30		
	Same Same Same	MEAN RANGE	•60	5.00	8.45
			•60 •80	5.00 6.00	10.95
οP		RANGE	•60	5.00	

COMPOUND:	FDA 71-38		ORGANISM: SAC	CHAROMYCES D-3
DOSE LEVEL	: NEGATIVE CO	NTROL - SALINE	(SUBACUTE TRI	(LS)
TREATMENT:	IN VIVO, ORA	L. ACUTE	DATE STARTED	JULY 14, 1972
ANIMAL NUMBER	A RAW CFU X 10E5/1.0ML	B TOTAL CFU SCREENED X 10E5/1.0ML	C TOTAL RECOMBINANTS /1.0ML	D RECOMB/CFU SCREENED X 10E-5
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	451.00 492.00 283.00 376.00 241.00 297.00 381.00 366.00 291.00	.45 .49 .28 .38 .24 .30 .38 .37 .29	2.00 3.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 3.00 2.00 2.00 4.00	4.43 6.10 3.53 5.32 4.15 3.37 7.87 5.46 6.87 8.47
TOTAL	· · ·	3.65	21.00	
NO. OF ANI	MALS EQUALS	10		
MEAN C/MEA	N B = .	5.75		
	MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	COL. B (X 10E5) .36 .25 .49	COL. C (X 10E0) 2.10 3.00 4.00 1.00	COL. D (X 10E-5) 5.56 5.11 8.47 3.37
	٠.			

NO OUTLIERS

COMPOUND	FDA 71-38			ORGANISM: SA	CCHAROMYCES D-3
DOSE LEVE	EL: LOW - 5	MG/KG			
TREATMENT	r: IN VIVO.	ORAL, SUBACU	JTE	DATE STARTED	: JULY 14, 1972
 <b></b>	<b>A</b>	9	~~!!	C	D
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU 10E5/1.0M		ED X	TOTAL RECOMBINANTS /1.0ML	RECOMB/CFU SCREENED X 10E-5
 <sup>1</sup> 1	603.00		60 /	1.00	1.66
2 3	439.00 508.00		•44	1.00	2.28
4	817.00		•51 •82	2.00 3.00	3.94 3.67
5	209.00		21	0.	0.
6 7	344.00		34	1.00	2.91
	293.00	-	29	2.00	6.83
8	572.00	•	57	<b>1.00</b>	1.75
TOTAL		4.	78	11.00	4
101112	• •	, J	• / •	11.00	
NO. OF AN	NIMALS EQUAL REENED OUT O	.S 8	. •		
NO. OF AN	REENED OUT O	•	. •	2	
 NO. OF AN	REENED OUT O	S 8 F RANGE EQUA 2.91 COL	ALS	2 CoL. C	COL. D
 NO. OF AN	REENED OUT O	S 8 F RANGE EQUA 2.91 COL	ALS B LOES)	COL. C (X 10E0)	(X 10E-5)
 NO. OF AN	REENED OUT O EAN B = MEAN	S 8 F RANGE EQUA 2.91 COL	ALS B B B B	COL. C (X 10E0) 1.38	(X 10E-5) 2.88
 NO. OF AN	REENED OUT O EAN B =  MEAN RANGE	S 8 F RANGE EQUA 2.91 COL	* B 10E5) •47 •61	COL. C (X 10E0) 1.38 3.00	(X 10E-5) 2.88 6.83
 NO. OF AN	REENED OUT OF SEAN B = MEAN RANGE MAX	S 8 F RANGE EQUA 2.91 COL	**************************************	COL. C (X 10E0) 1.38 3.00 3.00	(X 10E-5) 2.88 6.83 6.83
NO. OF AN	REENED OUT O EAN B =  MEAN RANGE	S 8 F RANGE EQUA 2.91 COL	* B 10E5) •47 •61	COL. C (X 10E0) 1.38 3.00	(X 10E-5) 2.88 6.83
NO. OF AN	REENED OUT OF SEAN B = MEAN RANGE MAX	S 8 F RANGE EQUA 2.91 COL (X 1	ALS B 10E5) .47 .61 .82 .21	COL. C (X 10E0) 1.38 3.00 3.00	(X 10E-5) 2.88 6.83 6.83
NO. OF AN	REENED OUT OF SEAN B = MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	S 8 F RANGE EQUA 2.91 COL (X 1	ALS B 10E5) .47 .61 .82 .21	COL. C (X 10E0) 1.38 3.00 3.00	(X 10E-5) 2.88 6.83 6.83
NO. OF AN TOTAL SCE MEAN C/ME	REENED OUT OF SEAN B = MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	* SUMMARY 2.58 COL	**B 10E5) •47 •61 •82 •21	COL. C (X 10E0) 1.38 3.00 3.00 0.  OUTLIERS REMOVE	(X 10E-5) 2.88 6.83 6.83 0.
NO. OF AN TOTAL SCE MEAN C/ME	REENED OUT OF THE PROPERTY OF	* SUMMARY 2.58 COL	**************************************	COL. C (X 10E0) 1.38 3.00 3.00 0. OUTLIERS REMOVE	(X 10E-5) 2.88 6.83 6.83 0. ED
NO. OF AN TOTAL SCE MEAN C/ME	REENED OUT OF AN B = MEAN MAX MIN	* SUMMARY 2.58 COL	**BLOE5)  **BLOE5)  **BLOE5)  **BLOE5)	COL. C (X 10E0) 1.38 3.00 3.00 0. OUTLIERS REMOVE	(X 10E-5) 2.88 6.83 6.83 0. ED (X 10E-5) 2.31
NO. OF AN TOTAL SCE MEAN C/ME	REENED OUT OF THE PROPERTY OF	* SUMMARY 2.58 COL	**************************************	COL. C (X 10E0) 1.38 3.00 3.00 0. OUTLIERS REMOVE	(X 10E-5) 2.88 6.83 6.83 0. ED

			•		
	COMPOUND	FDA 71-38		ORGANISM: SAC	CCHAROMYCES D-3
	DOSE LEVE	L: INTERMEDIATE	E - 50 MG/KG	•	
4 * · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	TREATMENT	r: IN VIVO, ORAL	. SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED	JULY 14, 1972
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			•	
	ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E5/1.0ML	B TOTAL CFU SCREENED X 10E5/1.0ML	TOTAL RECOMBINANTS /1.0ML	RECOMB/CFU SCREENED X 10E-5
er e	<b>1</b>	473.00	•47	1.00	2.11
	2	299.00	•30	<b>3.</b> 00	10.03 *
	3	401.00	•40	2.00	4.99
	4	356.00	• 36	1.00	2.81
	5	267.00	•27	1.00	3.75
	6	289.00	•29	1.00	3.46
20 - 20 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 -	<b>7</b> , 11	410.00	•41	2.00	4.88
	8	683.00	•68	2.00	2.93
	9	404.00	•40	3.00	7.43
	TOTAL		3.58	16.00	
		NIMALS EQUALS REENED OUT OF RA	9 ANGE EQUALS	1	
	MEAN C/ME	EAN B =	+,47	***	
		-	COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
			(X 10E5)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-5)
		MEAN	•40	1.78	4.71
		RANGE	•42	2.00	7.92
and the same	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	MAX		3.00	10.03
1	·	MIN	•27	1.00	2.11
		*	SUMMARY WITH	OUTLIERS REMOVE	ED
	MEAN C/ME	FAN R =	3.96		
			COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
	to the second of the second		(X 10E5)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-5)
		MEAN	•41	1.63	4.04
		RANGE	•42	2.00	5.31
		MAX		3.00	7.43
		MIN	•27	1.00	2.11
CTAP	•				

STOP

COMPOUND:	FDA 71-38		ORGANISM: SAC	CHAROMYCES D-3
DOSE LEVEL	: LD5 - 500 M	46/KG		tang merupakan dianggalah sebagai seba Sebagai sebagai sebaga
TREATMENT:	IN VIVO, OR	AL. SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED:	JULY 14, 1972
	<b>A</b>	B Total CFU	C Total	D RECOMB/CFU
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E5/1.GML	SCREENED X 10E5/1.0ML	RECOMBINANTS / /1.0ML	SCREENED X
1	513-00	. •51	1.00	1.95
3	657.00 449.00	•66 •45	2.00 2.00	3.04 4.45
3 4	449•00 440•00	•44	1.00	2.27
5	423.00	.42	1.00	2.36
5 6 7	451.00	.45	3.00	6.65
7	248.00	•25	1.00	4.03
8	253.00	•25	2.00	7.91
TOTAL		3.43	13.00	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	MALS EQUALS ENED OUT OF	8 RANGE EQUALS	, i.v	
MEAN C/MEA	N B =	.3•79		
		COL. B (X 10E5)	COL. C (X 10E0)	COL. D (X 10E-5)
	MEAN	•43 •41	1.63 2.00	#•08 <b>5•9</b> 6
	RANGE MAY	•66	3.00	7.91

NO OUTLIERS

### 3. Toxicity Data - Test II

### a. Acute – Rat

Compound FDA 71-38 was prepared as a 21.8% (w/v) saline suspension and administered to a group of ten male rats (average body weight 262 grams) at a single dose of 5000 mg/kg.

No signs of toxicity or abnormal behavior were observed in the 7-day observation period. No deaths occurred. At termination all animals were killed and on necropsy no gross findings were observed.

The acute oral LD $_{50}$  for compound FDA 71-38 is considered to be greater than 5000 mg/kg in the rat.

### b. Acute - Mouse

Compound FDA 71-38 was prepared as a 37% to 79% (w/v) saline suspension and administered orally to five groups of six male mice (average body weight 28 grams) at single dosages of 2600, 3200, 4000, 4800 and 5600 mg/kg.

No signs of toxicity or abnormal behavior was observed in any group during the 7-day observation period. No deaths occurred. At termination all animals were killed and on necropsy no gross findings were observed.

The acute oral  $LD_{50}$  for compound FDA 71-38 is considered to be greater than 5600 mg/kg in the mouse.



c. TOXICITY DATA SHEETS

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-38

METHYLPARABEN

TEST II

### TOXICITY DATA

### COMPOUND FDA 71-38

Solvent:

0.85% saline

Dosage Form:

Suspension

Animals:

Rat - Male rats with an average body weight of 262 grams.

All animals were observed for seven days.

Mouse - Male mice with an average body weight of 28 grams.

All animals were observed for seven days.

	Dose mg/kg	No. Dead/No. Animals	Day of Death and Necropsy
Rat:	5000	0/10	No deaths. No gross necropsy findings at termination.
Mouse:	5600	0/6	No deaths. No gross necropsy findings at termination.
LD <sub>EO</sub>	Is greate	er than 5000 mg/kg in rats	and 5600 mg/kg in mice.



4. Host-Mediated Assay - Test II

Compound FDA 71-38, Methylparaben, was negative at all dose levels employed in this test.

David Brusick

a. HOST-MEDIATED ASSAY SUMMARY SHEETS

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-38

METHYLPARABEN

TEST II



# HOST MEDIATED ASSAY

## SUMMARY SHEET

		TA153	SALMON 30	ELLA G-46	•	SACCHAROMY	CES D-3
***************************************		MMF (X 10E-8)	MFT/MFC	MMF (X 10E-8)	MFT/MFC	MRF (X 10E-5)	MRT/MRC
	ACUTE	Marketine of the self-residence of the self-			- No. 1. Comments of the Comment of	The comment of the comments of	N. W
	NC PC	3.47 73.93	21.31	.36 187.50	520.83	8.92	20 00
	AL	U.	0.	0.		0.	0.
	AI	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.
	AH	3,50	1.01	.43	1.19	18.97	2.13
	SUBACUTE .			•	~		
*** **** · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_ NC	1.00	-	1.00		1.00	
	SL	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.
	SI	0.	0.	0.	o.		0.
· · ·	_SH		0.	0			0.
	IN VITRO	TA1530	G-46		D-3		
			**************************************	% CONC	% SURVIVAL	R X 10E5	
	NC					The second of th	e um que servicione e en . E surfamente e . L'emple per el minimo de l'emple
	PC						

48

### HOST MEDIATED ASSAY

### SUMMARY SHEET

		SALMO	NELLA		SACCHAROMY	CES D-3
mana manakan wa manakan a maji pina da sa kata	TA153	30	G-46	•		
	MMF (X 10E-8)	MFT/MFC	MMF (X 10E-8)	MFT/MFC	MRF (X 10E-5)	MRT/MRC
ACUTE	CAC SEC. 1. C. C.	Marie and a control of the control o				
NC PC	8.04	0.00	1.51 213.97		10.34	
AL	01.39	8.38	213.97			
AI	0.	0.	0. 0.		0.	
ALD5			0 <b>.</b>	0. 0.	0.	
SUBACUTE			•		, - <b>-</b>	•
NC .	5.61	* - ** ** ** ** ** *******************	1.59		12.59	The second secon
2 L	0.	0.	0.	0.	· <b>n</b>	0.
SI	0.	0.	0	0.	0. 0.	) O.
SLD5.	5.72	1.02	1.36	. 86	9.66	•77
IN VITRO	TA1530	G-46	The first of the last street of the first of the last	D-3	edical language of the conference and a subject to the properties against	
To any continuous and a second of the second			% CONC	% SURVIVAL	. R X 10E	5
NC '		The second secon	an kiri saadan kiri saaraa 200 si saa kiri saasaa kiri saaraa ka			

49

b. HOST-MEDIATED ASSAY DATA SHEETS

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-38

METHYLPARABEN

TEST II



COMPOUND	FDA 71-38	ORGANISM: SALMONELLA TALESO			
DOSE LEVE	L NEGATIVE CO	NTROLI - SALTNE	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•
TREATMENT	IN VIVO. ORAL	DATE STARTED:	MARCH 6, 197	<b>.</b> .	
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X: 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X	TOTAL NO. Mutants X 10e0/1.0ml	D MUTATION FRE (C/B)	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	46.50 43.00 58.60 37.20 41.70 44.90 38.50 69.80 62.80	7.75 7.17 9.77 6.20 6.95 7.48 6.42 11.63	22.00 27.00 23.00 23.00 26.00 15.00 28.00 13.00 28.00	X 10E-8  2.84 3.77 2.35 3.71 3.74 2.00 4.36 1.12 2.68 8.09	
NOGOOFI AND	MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	COL. 9 (X 10E8) 7.92 6.32 11.63 5.32	COL. C (X 10E0) 24.80 30.00 43.00	COL. D (X 10E-8) 3.47 6.97 8.09	
	MEAN RANGE MAX	COLL B (X 10E8) 8.20 5.43 11.63	COL. C (X 10E0) 22.78 15.00 28.00	COL. D (X 10E-8) 2.95 3.25 4.36	

COMPOUND® FDA 71-	-38 ORGAN
-------------------	-----------

NISM: SALMONELLA TA153

DOSE LEVEL: POSITIVE CONTROL - DMN - 100 MG/KG

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE DATE STARTED: MARCH 6, 1974

ANIMAL RAW CFU X TOTAL CFU X MUTANTS X FRE (C/B) NUMBER 10E7/0.6ML 10EB/1.0ML 10E0/1.0ML X 10E-8  1	Comment of the second of the s			, (C. )	<b>D</b> .	
NUMBER 10E7/0.6ML 10E8/1.0ML 10E0/1.0ML X 10E-8  1 50.30 8.38 1050.00 125.25 2 73.10 12.18 508.00 41.70 3 52.10 8.68 364.00 41.92 4 37.90 6.32 553.00 87.54 5 50.90 8.48 417.00 49.15 6 34.90 5.82 997.00 171.40 7 42.60 7.10 372.00 52.39 8 39.20 6.53 303.00 46.38 9 35.80 5.97 296.00 49.61  NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 9 NO. OF CONTAMINATED EQUALS 1  COL. E COL. C COL. D  (X 10E8) (X 10E0) (X 10E-8) MEAN 7.72 540.00 73.93 RANGE 6.37 754.00 129.71 MAX 12.18 1050.00 171.40	444-444				MUTATION	
1   50.30   8.38   1050.00   125.25     2   73.10   12.18   508.00   41.70     3   52.10   8.68   364.00   41.92     4   37.90   6.32   553.00   87.54     5   50.90   8.48   417.00   49.15     6   34.90   5.82   997.00   171.40     7   42.60   7.10   372.00   52.39     8   39.20   6.53   303.00   46.38     9   35.80   5.97   296.00   49.61     NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS   9     NO. OF CONTAMINATED EQUALS   1     COL. E   COL. C   COL. D     (X 10E8)   (X 10E0)   (X 10E-8)     MEAN   7.72   540.00   73.93     RANGE   6.37   754.00   129.71     MAX   12.18   1050.00   171.40					FRE (C/B)	
2 73.10 12.18 508.00 41.70 3 52.10 8.68 364.00 41.92 4 37.90 6.32 553.00 87.54 5 50.90 8.48 417.00 49.15 6 34.90 5.82 997.00 171.40 7 42.60 7.10 372.00 52.39 8 39.20 6.53 303.00 46.38 9 35.80 5.97 296.00 49.61  ND. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 9 NO. OF CONTAMINATED EQUALS 1  COL. E COL. C COL. D  (X 10E8) (X 10E0) (X 10E-8) MEAN 7.72 540.00 73.93 RANGE 6.37 754.00 129.71 MAX 12.18 1050.00 171.40	NUMBER	10E7/0.5ML	10E8/1.0ML	10E0/1.0ML		
2 73.10 12.18 508.00 41.70 3 52.10 8.68 364.00 41.92 4 37.90 6.32 553.00 87.54 5 50.90 8.48 417.00 49.15 6 34.90 5.82 997.00 171.40 7 42.60 7.10 372.00 52.39 8 39.20 6.53 303.00 46.38 9 35.80 5.97 296.00 49.61  NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 9 NO. OF CONTAMINATED EQUALS 1  COL. 2 COL. C COL. D  (X 10E8) (X 10E0) (X 10E-8) MEAN 7.72 540.00 73.93 RANGE 6.37 754.00 129.71 MAX 12.18 1050.00 171.40	1			1050.00	125.25	
3 52.10 8.68 364.00 41.92 4 37.90 6.32 553.00 87.54 5 50.90 8.48 417.00 49.15 6 34.90 5.82 997.00 171.40 7 42.60 7.10 372.00 52.39 8 39.20 6.53 303.00 46.38 9 35.80 5.97 296.00 49.61  NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 9 NO. OF CONTAMINATED EQUALS 1  COL. E COL. C COL. D  (X 10E8) (X 10E0) (X 10E-8) MEAN 7.72 540.00 73.93 RANGE 6.37 754.00 129.71 MAX 12.18 1050.00 171.40		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	12.18	508.00		
## 37.90 6.32 553.00 87.54  5 50.90 8.48 417.00 49.15  6 34.90 5.82 997.00 171.40  7 42.60 7.10 372.00 52.39  8 39.20 6.53 303.00 46.38  9 35.80 5.97 296.00 49.61  NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 9  NO. OF CONTAMINATED EQUALS 1    COL. E	3	<b>52.1</b> 0	8.68	364.00		
50.90 8.48 417.00 49.15 6 34.90 5.82 997.00 171.40 7 42.60 7.10 372.00 52.39 8 39.20 6.53 303.00 46.38 9 35.80 5.97 296.00 49.61  NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 9 NO. OF CONTAMINATED EQUALS 1  COL. 8 COL. C COL. D (X 10E8) (X 10E0) (X 10E-8) MEAN 7.72 540.00 73.93 RANGE 6.37 754.00 129.71 MAX 12.18 1050.00 171.40	· • <b>4</b>	<b>37.9</b> 0	6.32			
6 34.90 5.82 997.00 171.40 7 42.60 7.10 372.00 52.39 8 39.20 6.53 303.00 46.38 9 35.80 5.97 296.00 49.61  NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 9 NO. OF CONTAMINATED EQUALS 1  COL. E COL. C COL. D  (X 10E8) (X 10E0) (X 10E-8)  MEAN 7.72 540.00 73.93 RANGE 6.37 754.00 129.71 MAX 12.18 1050.00 171.40	es a <b>5</b> 1 e de 12 a e 12	50.90	8.48		_	
7 42.60 7.10 372.00 52.39 8 39.20 6.53 303.00 46.38 9 35.80 5.97 296.00 49.61  NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 9 NO. OF CONTAMINATED EQUALS 1  COL. 8 COL. C COL. D  (X 10E8) (X 10E0) (X 10E-8)  MEAN 7.72 540.00 73.93 RANGE 6.37 754.00 129.71 MAX 12.18 1050.00 171.40	6	34.90	5.82		_	. 4
8 39.20 6.53 303.00 46.38 9 35.80 5.97 296.00 49.61  NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 9 NO. OF CONTAMINATED EQUALS 1  COL. 2 COL. C COL. D  (X 10E8) (X 10E0) (X 10E-8)  MEAN 7.72 540.00 73.93 RANGE 6.37 754.00 129.71 MAX 12.18 1050.00 171.40	7	42.60				
9 35.80 5.97 296.00 49.61  NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 9 NO. OF CONTAMINATED EQUALS 1  COL. E COL. C COL. D  (X 10E8) (X 10E0) (X 10E-8)  MEAN 7.72 540.00 73.93 RANGE 6.37 754.00 129.71 MAX 12.18 1050.00 171.40	8	39.20				
NO. OF CONTAMINATED EQUALS 1  COL. 8 COL. C COL. D  (X 10E8) (X 10E0) (X 10E-8)  MEAN 7.72 540.00 73.93  RANGE 6.37 754.00 129.71  MAX 12.18 1050.00 171.40	9	35.80				
COL. 2 COL. C COL. D (X 10E8) (X 10E0) (X 10E-8) MEAN 7.72 540.00 73.93 RANGE 6.37 754.00 129.71 MAX 12.18 1050.00 171.40	NO. OF ANI	MALS EQUALS			•	
(X 10E8) (X 10E0) (X 10E-8)  MEAN 7.72 540.00 73.93  RANGE 6.37 754.00 129.71  MAX 12.18 1050.00 171.40	NO. OF CON	TAMINATED EQUAL	.5 1		ere en	
(X 10E8) (X 10E0) (X 10E-8)  MEAN 7.72 540.00 73.93  RANGE 6.37 754.00 129.71  MAX 12.18 1050.00 171.40	n gan nga sajan at katawa sajan	and the second of the second o	COL.	COL. C	COL. D	
MEAN 7.72 540.00 73.93 RANGE 6.37 754.00 129.71 MAX 12.18 1050.00 171.40			(X 10E8)			
RANGE 6.37 754.00 129.71 MAX 12.18 1050.00 171.40		MEAN	7.72			
MAX 12.18 1050.00 171.40	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	RANGE	6.37			
MAN A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		MAX	12.18			
		MIN			-	

J	COL. 8 (x 10ee)	COL. C (X 10E0)	COL. D (X 196-8)
MEAN		482.88	63.74
PANGE	6.22	754.00	83.55
MAX	12.18	1050.00	125.25
MIN	5.97	296.00	41.70

COMPOUND FDA 71-38			ORGANISM: SALMONELLA TA153	
DOSE LEVEL	: HIGH - 5000	MG/KG		
TREATMENT	IN VIVO. ORA	L. ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	MARCH 6, 1974
ANIMAL	A RAW CFU X	B TOTAL CFU X	TOTAL NO. Mutants X	D MUTATION
NUMBER	10E7/0.6ML	10E8/1.0ML	10E0/1.0ML	FRE (C/B) X 10E-8
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 NO. OF AMIM NO. OF CONT	AMINATED EQUA	13.12 10.90 10.83 12.20 6.37 8.87 10.83 6.87	47.00 34.00 25.00 32.00 30.00 34.00 33.00	3.58 3.12 2.31 2.62 4.71 3.83 3.05 4.81
TOTAL CPO O	UT OF RANGE	COL. B	COL. C	AAIN A
NO OUTLIERS	MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	(X 10E8) 10.00 6.75 13.12 6.37	(X 10E0) 33.50 22.00 47.00 25.00	COL. D (X 10E-6) 3.50 2.50 4.81 2.31

	COMPOUND	FDA 71-38		ORGANISM: SAL	MONELLA TA1530
gapa e no celebro de las consecuencios de la compansación de la compan	DOSE LEVE	L: NEGATIVE CON	TROLF - SALINE	and the second of the second o	
A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	TREATMENT	IN VIVO. ORAL	SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED:	JULY 12, 1974
<b>.</b>		A	8	C	0
	ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X. 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 1020/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE C/B) Z 10E-8
	1 2	44.90 61.90	7.48 10.32	39.00 40.00	5,21, 3,88
	3 4 5	59.00 32.70 78.40	9.53 5.45 13.07	45.00 135.00 168.00	4.58 24.77 12.86
	6 7 8	47.90 65.10 81.20	7.98 10.35 13.53	43.00 28.00 68.00	15,39 2,58 5,02
		IMALS EQUALS	ุ่ อนุงเร		•
		•	COL. 5 (X 1028)	COL. C (\$ 10E0)	COL. D
		MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	9.81 8.08 13.53 5.45	70.75 140.00 168.00	8.04 22.19 24.77 2.58
			SUMMERY WITH O	UT LERS REMOVE	).
	service and the service services and the service services are services as the services are services are services as the services are	MEAN	COL. (X.162a)	COL. C (X 10E0)	COL. D (X 10E-6)
		RANGE MAX MIN	13.53 7.48	61.57 140.00 168.00 28.00	ว์.64 10.2ช 12.86 2.5ช
stap M0014 H MLKBACK Kogram	HEGUENCE	INE ADDRESS			en de la composition della com
	Exo	THE ADDRESS	LALLER LIVE	00102	

COMPOUND: FDA 71-38

ORGANISM: SALMONELLA TAISS

DOSE LEVEL: NEGATIVE CONTROL - CORN OIL

TREATMENT: IN VIVO. ORAL. SUBACUTE.

DATE STARTED: JULY 12, 1994

	Α	- B	C	D
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X	TOTAL CFU X	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10EO/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 102-8
1 2	35.30	5.88	33.00	5.61
	41.00	6.83. /	34.00	4.93
3	44.20	7.37	23.00	3,12
4	30.60	5.10	39.00	7.65
5	35.40	5.90	46.00	8.14
6	36.7	6.12	44.00	7.19
7	81.60	13.60	41.00	3.01
8	40.80	6.80	35.00	5.15

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS
TOTAL CFU OUT OF HANGE EQUALS

	COL	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 108a)	(X 10E0)	(X 105~8)
YEAN	7.2	37.13	5.61
RANGE	8,50	25.00	5.12
MAX	13.60	48.00	5.14
KIN	5.10	23.00	3.01

NO OUTLIERS

COMPOUNDT FDA 71+38		URGANISM: SAL	MONELLA TAISS	
DOSE LEVE	L: POSITIVE CO	TROL - DMN -	100 MG/KG	
TREATMENT	: IN VIVO, ORA	L. ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	JULY 12, 1974
•	A	<b>B</b>	C	Đ
ANIMAL	RAW CFU X. 10E7/0.5ML	TOTAL CFU X	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (CVB)
1 2	79.10 64.30	13.18 10.72	1123.00 \$54.00	85.18 51.69
<b>4</b> 5	78.70 64.00 56.30	13.12 10.67 9.35	1226.00 914.00 404.00	93,47 65,69 43,05
6 7 8	67.50 43.80 71.80	11.25 7.30	270.00 350.00	24.00 47.94
10	45.20	11.97 7.53 11.60	816.00 698.00 958.00	68,19 92,65 82,03
NO. OF AN	MALS EQUALS	15		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		COL. (X 10E3)	COL. C (X 10E0)	COL. D.
e er er en kommune i de en	MEAN RANGE	10.69 5.88	732.30 956.00	67.39 69.47
	MAX. WIN	13.18 7.30	1225.00	93.47

NO OUTLIERS

•	COMPOUND: FDA 71-38  DOSE LEVEL: LD5 - 3500 MG/KG  TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, SUBACUTE			MONELLA TA153
				ULY 12, 1974
	A:	<b>8</b>	C TOTAL NO.	D MUTATION
NUMBER	RAW CFU X	TOTAL CFU X	MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	FRE (C/B)
1 2	14.70 25.10	2.45 4.18	16.00 20.00	6.53 . 4.78
3 4 5	38.20 30.20 34.40	6.37 5.03 5.73	30.00 30.00 21.00	4.71 5.96 3.66
7	28.46 21.10	4.73 3.52	29.00 29.00	6.13 2.25
NO. OF DEAD	IALS EQUALS Animals Equa	7 " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "		
Andrew Communication of the Co	nEAN	COL. 8 (X 10E8) 4.57	COL. C (X 10E0) 25.00	COL. D (X 106-8)
	RANGE MAX MIN	3.92 6.37 2.45	14.00 30.00 16.00	4.58 8.25 3.66

# \* SUMMERY WITH OUT TERS REMOVED

	-	COL. (X 10E8	COL. C: (X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
	RANGE	4.79	24.33	5,30
	MAX	3.97 6.31	14.00 7 30.00	2.87 63
TOP	MIN	2.45	16.00	3.66

COMPOUND: FDA 71-38

ORGANISM: SALMONELLA\_G-46.

DOSE LEVEL: NEGATIVE CONTROL - SALINE

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, SUBACUTE

DATE STARTED: MARCH 21, 1974

ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X	TOTAL CFU X	C TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	D MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10EA8
1	207.00	34.50	4.00	.12
··· : <u>2</u>	50.90	8.48	7.00	83
3	70.90	11.82	4.00	.34
4	52.30	8.72	4.00	46
5	•••• <b>55.</b> 30	9.22	6.00	.65
6	56.90	9.48	1.00	
7	73.00	12.17	5.00	.41
	76.30	12.72	3.00	
9	92.20	15.37	1.00	. è 7
***				# V 1

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS
TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS

man of the second	THE STREET OF STREET OF STREET STREET STREET	COL. B	COLL. C	COL. D
	•	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
	MEAN	13.61	3.89	.36
rate i rate di mandi	RANGE	26.02	6.00	.75
	MAX	34.50	7.00	. 83
	AIN "	8.48	1.00	• 07
NO OUTLIFRS	مدامو إماد التهامج فالمعاج فالمدار الماد		gennem i genn flitzii, yy	A Commence of the Commence of

NO OUTLIER

COMPOUND: FDA 71-38 ORGANISM: SALMONELLA G-46.

DOSE LEVEL: POSITIVE CONTROL - DMN - 100 MG/KG

TREATMENT: IN VIVO. ORAL. ACUTE DATE STARTED: MARCH 21, 19 4

ernomen an america e	A CONTRACTOR	В,	V	D
JAMINA REMUN	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.5ML	TOTAL CFU X	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10EO/1.OML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8
1	55.90	9.32	2190.00	235.06
	46.00	7,67	1519.00	198.13
3	47.80	7.97	1084.00	136.06
.4	58.20	9.70	2149.00	221.54
5	40.30	6.72	1134.00	168.83
6	59.60	9.93	1764.00	177.5
· <b>7</b>	64.20	10.70	1889.00	176.54
8	52.40	8.73	1175.00	134.54
9	43.90	7.32	1790.00	244.64
10	42.40	7.07	1287.00	102.12

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS: 10

		CUL	CUL. C	COL. D
		(X 10E3)	(Ř 10EŮ)	(X 10E-8)
	®EAN:	8.51	1598.10	187.50
an a serie de la descripción de la companya de la c	RANGE	3.98	1106.00	110.10
	MAX "	10.70	2190.00	244.64
	MIN	6.72	1084.00	134.54
MITH TERE	The second secon			

NO DOILTERS

STOP

COMPOUND: FDA 71-38

ORGANISM: SALMONELLA G-46.

DOSE LEVEL: HIGH - 5000 MG/KG

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE

DATE: STARTED: MARCH 19, 1974

ANIMAL! NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	D MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8
1	112.10	18.58	6.00	.32
3	100.10	9,13 16,68	2.00 4.00	.22
4	81.70	13,62	10.00	.24 .73
5	94.00	15.67	5.00	.32
7	68.30 65.40	11.38 10.90	3.00 10.00	•26 •92

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 7
TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS

	COL. 5 (X 10E8		COL. D (X 10E-8)
MEAN	13.72		•43
RANGE	9.55		. 70
MAX	18.68	10.00	.92
MIN	9.13	2.00	.22

NO OUTLIERS

	COMPOUND:	FDA 71-38		ORGANISM: SAL	MONELLA G-46
Production of the second secon	DOSE LEVE	L: NEGATIVE CO	NTROL - SALINE	of control to the settle feature is according to the settle settl	The state of the s
	TREATMENT	: IN VIVO, ORAI	_, SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED:	AUGUŠT 9, 197
Million W. Sale Et a passage against a gapen in con-	The state of the s	A	В	с	D
	ANI MAL NUMBER		TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8
	1 2	38.60 44.70	6.43 7.45	18.00 5.00	2.80 .67
	3 4 5	63.40 55.20 58.00	10.57 9.20 9.67	29.00 11.00 13.00	2.74 1.20 1.34
	6 7 8	52.40 40.50 62.00	8.73 6.75 10.33	15.00 10.00 2.00	1.72 1.48 .19
	9 10	50.70 66.70	8.45 11.12	16.00 12.00	1.89 1.08
	NO. OF AN	IMALS EQUALS	10		
		· MEAN RANGE	COL. B (X 10E8) 8.87 4.68	COL. C (X 10E0) 13.10 27.00	COL. D (X 10E-8) 1.51 2.60
eranomina (incompany) (again)	NO OUTLIE	MAX MIN RS	11.12 6.43	29.00 2.00	2.80 .19
STOP RU'S:.6		•			
	The state of the s			To childrenged right high paper regists a natural consistency and consistency and consistency date	
	ngan arin 1970 militar an an antana an an angana 1970 militar an				

COMPOUND: FDA 71-38 ORGANISM: SALMONELLA G-46

DOSE LEVEL: NEGATIVE CONTROL - CORN OIL

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, SUBACUTE DATE STARTED: AUGUST 9, 1974

ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	B TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	D MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8
1	30.50	5.08	8.00	1.57
2	44.40	7.40	14.00	1.89
3	31.80	5.30	7.00	1.32
4	34.70	5.78	12.00	2.07
5	50.50	8.42	8.00	.95
6	29.30	4.88	10.00	2.05
7	37.60	6.27	8.00	1.28

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 7
TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS 3

	COL. B (X 10E8)	COL. C (X 10E0)	COL. D (X 10E-8)
MEAN	6.16	9.57	1.59
RANGE	3.53	7.00	1.12
MAX	8.42	14.00	2.07
MIN	4.88	7.00	.95

NO OUTLIERS

STOP SRU'S:.5

COMPOUND: FDA 71-38 ORGANISM: SALMONELLA G-46

DOSE LEVEL: POSITIVE CONTROL - DMN - 100 MG/KG

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE DATE STARTED: AUGUST 9, 1974

	Α	В	C TOTAL NO.	D MUTATION	-
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	FRE (C/B) X 10E-8	
1	44.50	7.42	1875.00	252.80	
2	.39.20	6.53	1397.00	213.82	
3	36.30	6.05	1995.00	329.75	
4	48.10	8.02	1506.00	187.85	
5	40.90	6.82	1310.00	192.17	
6	46.70	7.78	1355.00	174.09	
7	52.10	8.68	2407.00	277.19	
8	146.60	24.43	110.00	4.50	×
9	49.20	8.20	2407.00	293.53	

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 9
TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS

COL. B COL. C COL. D (X 10E-8) (X 10E8) (X 10E0) **MEAN** 9.33 1595.78 213.97 18.38 RANGE . 2297.00 325.24 24.43 MAX 2407.00 329.75 MIN 6.05 110.00

### \* SUMMARY WITH OUTLIERS REMOVED

	COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
MEAN	7.44	1781.50	240.15
RANGE	2.63	1097.00	155.66
MAX	8.68-	2407.00	329.75
MIN	6.05	1310.00	174.09

STOP SRU'S:.6

COMPOUND: FDA 71-38 ORGANISM: SALMONELLA G-46

DOSE LEVEL: LD5 - 3500 MG/KG

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, SUBACUTE DATE STARTED: AUGUST 9, 1974

	Α	В	С	D
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8
1 2	53.60 50.70	8.93 8.45	17.00 9.00	1.90
3 4 5	33.00 36.70 36.40	5.50 6.12 6.07	11.00 9.00 5.00	2.00 1.47 .82
7 8	436.00 44.40 44.80	72.67 7.40 7.47	21.00 15.00 10.00	.29 2.03 1.34

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 1
TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS 1

		COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
	das vas values. The second values of the second values of the second value of the seco	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
	MEAN	15.33	12.13	1.36
	RANGE	67.17	16.00	1.74
perpendicular contract of the	MAX	72.67	21.00	2.03
	MIN	5.50	5.00	.29
				· ·

NO OUTLIERS

-STOP

COM	POU	ND:	FDA	71-38
-----	-----	-----	-----	-------

ORGANISM: SACCHAROMYCES P-3

DOSE LEVEL: POSITIVE CONTROL: - EMS - 350 MG/KG I.M.

TREATMENT: IN VIVO. ORAL. ACUTE

DATE STARTED: MAY 2, 1974

	A		······································	
	•	TOTAL CFU	TOTAL	RECOMB CFU
ANIMAL	RAW CFU X	SCREENED	RECOMBINANTS:	SCREENED X
NUMBER	10E5/1.0ML	10ES/1.OML	71.0ML	102-5
1.	1059.00	1.06	166.00	156.75
2	1516.00	1.52	177.00	116.75
· · 3	1136.00	1.14	186.00	163.44
.4.	<b>558.</b> 00	- <sup>1</sup> .56	188.00	336,92
5	856.00	. 86	197.00	230.14
6	1174.00	1.17	207.00	176.32
. 7	1171.00	1.17	184.00	157.1
8	1188.00	1.19	200.00	168.35
9	^8 <b>2</b> 5.00	.82	195.00	236,36
TOTAL	e de la companya de l	9.49	1700.00	•

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS TOTAL SCREENED OUT OF RANGE EQUALS

MEAN C/MEAN B = 179.23

	COL.	COL. C	COL. D
•	(X IDES)	(X 10E0)	(X"10E-5)
据EAN TO TO	1.45	188.89	193.57
RANGE	<b>^.</b> 96	41.00	220.16
MAX	1.52	207.00	336.92
NIN	• 56	166.00	116.75

### \* SUMMARY WITH OUT IERS REMOVED

MEAN C/MEAN B = 169.37

	COL.	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10£5)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-5)
MEAN	1.12	189.00	175.66
RANGE	, 6\$	41.00	119.61
MAX	1.52	207.00	236.36
MIN	•82	166.00	116.75

STOP

COMPOUND: FDA 71-38 ORGANISM: SACCHAROMYCES D-3

DOSE LEVEL: HIGH - 5000 MG/KG

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE

DATE STARTED: MAY 2, 1974

ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E5/1.0ML	B TOTAL CFU SCREENED X 10ES/1.0ML	TOTAL RECOMBINANTS 71.0ML	RECOMBACEU SCREENED X 108-5
1	845.00	•84	24.00	28.40
2	460.00		17.00	36.96
1. i <b>3</b> .	1321.00	1.32	23.00	17.41
4	759.00	.76	13.00	17.13
5	1283.00	1.28	18.00	14.03
6	1553.00	1.55	<b>26.</b> 00	16.74
7	1181.00	1.18	24.00	20.32
<b>8</b>	1478.00	1.48	23.00	15.56
*	822.00	.82	16.00	19.46
TOTAL	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	9.70	184.00	•

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS

MEAN C/MEAN B =

18,97

• '	COL.	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10E5)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-5)
MEAN	1.08	20.44	20.67
RANGE	1.09	13.00	22.93
MAX	1.55	26.00	36.96
MIN	**************************************	13.00	14.03

## # SUMMARY WITH OUT IERS REMOVED

MEAN CYMEAN B =

18.07

•	COL. S	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10E5)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-5)
MEAN	1.16	20.88	- 18.63
RANGE	•79	13.00	14.37
MAX	1.55	26.00	28.40
MIN	•76	13.00	14.03

STOP

HOST MEDIATED ASSAY REPORT SHEET ORGANISM: SACCHAROMYCES D-3 COMPOUND : FDA 71-38 DOSE LEVEL: NEGATIVE CONTROL - SALINE TREATMENT: IN VIVO, DRAL, SUBACUTE DATE STARTED: 7/15/74 B D TOTAL CFU TOTAL RECOMB/CFU ANIMAL RAW CFU X SCREENED X RECOMBINANTS SCREENED X NUMBER 10E5/1.0ML 10E5/1.0ML /1.0ML 10E-5 1979.00 1.98 26.00 13.14 1612.00 1.61 16.00 9.93 1768.00 1.77 14.00 7.92 1558.00 1.56 17.00 10.91 1574.00 1.57 11.00 6.99 1547.00 1.55 15.00 9.70 1567.00 1.57 21.00 13.40 1726.00 1.73 17.00 9.85 9 1248.00 1.25 9.00 7.21 10 1477.00 1.48 20.00 13.54 NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 10 NO. OF DEAD EQUALS O NO. OF CONTAMINATED EQUALS O NO. OF CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS O COL. B COL. C COL. D (X 10E5) (X 10E0) (X 10E-5)MEAN 1.61 16.60 10.26 0.73 17.00 RANGE 6.55 MAX 1.98 26.00 13.54 MIN 1.25 9.00 6.99 MEAN C/MEAN B = 10.34 NO OUTLIERS

#### \_HOST MEDIATED ASSAY REPORT SHEET

FDA 71-38 ORGANISM : SACCHAROMYCES D-3 DOSE LEVEL : NEGATIVE CONTROL - CORN OIL TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, SUBACUTE DATE STARTED: 7/15/74 C D TOTAL CFU TOTAL RECOMB/CFU RAW CFU X ANIMAL SCREENED X RECOMBINANTS SCREENED X. NUMBER 10E5/1.0ML 10E5/1.0ML /1.0ML 10E-5 1418.00 1.42 11.00 7.76 1007.00 1.01 22.00 21.85 1235.00 1.23 10.00 8.10 1612.00 1.61 13.00 8.06 1655.00 1.65 23.00 13.90 6 1651.00 1.65 22.00 13.33 1167.00 1.17 20.00 17.14 8 937.00 0.94 14.00 14.94 1228.00 1.23 20.00 16.29 1198.00 1.20 10.00 8.35 10 NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 10 NO. OF DEAD EQUALS O NO. OF CONTAMINATED EQUALS O NO. OF CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS O COL. B COL. C COL. D (X 10E5) (X 10E0) (X 10E-5) MEAN 1.31 16.50 12.97 RANGE 0.72 13.00 14.09 MAX 1.65 23.00 21.85 MIN 0.94 10.00 7.76 MEAN C/MEAN B = 12.59 NO OUTLIERS

#### HOST MEDIATED ASSAY REPORT SHEET

	COMPOUND	FDA 71-38		DRGANISM	SACCHAROMYCE	S D-3
programme to the second second	DOSE LEV	/EL : POSITIVE	CONTROL - EMS	-350 MG/KG	en e	TRANSFER OF A PROFESSIONAL
	TREATMEN	NT : IN VIVO,	ORAL, ACUTE	DATE START	ED: 7/15/74	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	en e	<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	C	D	•
	***		TOTAL CFU	TOTAL	RECOMB/CFU	
		RAW CFU X		RECOMBINANTS	SCREENED X	
-parti-	NUMBER	10E5/1.0ML	10E5/1.0ML	/1.0ML	10E-5	
4 × 2 • • • •	1	433.00	0.43	114.00	263.28	*
FOUNDS -		985.00	0.98	159.00	161.42	
	3	1172.00	1.17	103.00	87.88	- 1. 16 4 4
	4	1193.00		150.00	125.73	
	5	1256.00	1.26		55.73	e to o take to play to a special per appropriate to a
glig de	6			74.00	53.43	
	7	563.00	0.56	86.00	152.75	The same of the contract of the same
	8	1621.00			62.31	
pretter.	and the second s		The second secon	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	COMPANY OF THE ASSESSMENT OF THE
	2 ANIM	MALS NOT ACCOUNT	TED FOR. VALUE	S COMPUTED FOR	Q ANTMALC	
	NO. OF DE	AD EQUALS 0	TEDUL UIST. STREET	S. C.D. HE.OT E.D. IT.OK.	O. ANIMALS	on the state of th
		NTAMINATED EQUA	Alc 2			
William		U OUT OF RANGE		nen versioner in de in inhancer relevant de agreemen region and and analysis of the second		
	NO. OI CI	O OUT OF KANGE	EQUALS U			
	<del>elleria serrella desenta esta della della seria dell'alla della della della della della della della della della</del>	er de re <del>ndición relabilitation communication des quantitation</del> en elegan en elegan en <sub>eleg</sub> on en <sub>eleg</sub> on en elegan en elega		601 6		
****		·	COL. B	COL. C	COL. D	
	Tarangan managan dan kanagan managan m	AAP AAI		(X 10E0)		to the restaurant of the second section of the
		MEAN	1.08	107.13	120.32	
	eterretario de describerarios per esta esta esta esta esta esta esta esta		1.19		209.85	
-146 da-		MAX	1.62	159.00	263.28	
	PhotoPhilippoint in the passe of the contract	NIN	0.43	70.00	53.43	en entre companion de la com-
	MEAN C/M	EAN B = 99	) <sub>-</sub> 5.6			
or beliefe	orino em apagamento apagamento de la delimita de la Participa de la Residencia de la Reside	lika 1997 dia saribita amin'ny avoan-sama-samanana atta d	(a. P. S. V	different film and a film history secured. Labella a despite and endersylpping representative and	n e i i conde e i di i dell'ora A e i mi Alleron mante, llere i replación lancialisation color que pardio capi	namen fillen und raft icher
- And - Marketing on a decrease			SUMMARY WITH	OUTLIERS REMOV	ED	
volde gar	`		COL. B	COL. C	COL. D	
			(X 10E5)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-5)	of Control Million Control
		MEAN		106.14	99.80	
Jestina		RANGE	1.06	89.00	107.99	- the sensetember on
			1.62			
- 10.000 40.000	**	MIN	0.56	70.00	53.43	CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR
					77.47	
kralige sw	MEAN C/M	EAN B = 90	.89		- Palating and act of taking at spirit, and analysis of a paper of a spirit of the spi	
						•
			<del>Во Великов, п</del> о у се се се се на население на население на население на население на население на население на н		e effektive teriller settler som er en veget til dettillen plas men i et sjørg ektive er engeligte, setter skriv	· venuesta e cas e . assessi
) resident		Mark Mark William (Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark				
			An independent and the second of the second	- en la company de la company	Control Brown Co. The control of the	Mary - mark - 1915 person as -
			•			
	n der stelle	derson is reconstructure as quantitated as an implication of specific and an interest of specific and a second	· ·	The state of the s	derder is de Verdichellijkensproep, vo. 20. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 1	
and place					•	
	ekon differentialmente era era era era era era era era era er	The state of the s	et fajr virreija vir reinire ijan vasarus pairtallulus rainallus dina er rinner vana ar alben sag rain. A		er seen maak is as in hijk ka ya di karika sakki dishinaanin aara ki alikulahni amadaani adi kabupataya	
	•					
eliter	er mengeneng spirat in til her væretet i spirat saksty ser og spira handledgiver i sæstenspir skalerer set		ersagelegenterger symmet er gemende i de kommen i er en redlingsvelte stellere i som dette i kommen stellere s	et kanna saatta kirja kumada ayalanda, dhalaan kibir e ku ku ku ku ku kibi ah saadan kundaninsi man wasan ku k K	e manda anno i con comprene e constante e e e en esta mer e qui el constante de la constante d	e con e e montage as grand
					•	
And de grape has some young a per		en emergenskilde i menemen amende stell kompe i salakus i Milanes plan i jednjega ayon sp <del>rimjelskilde i s</del> alasi klapskija	THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE OWNER, I WAS AND ADDRESS OF THE OWNER, I WAS ADDRESS OF THE OWNER, I WAS AND ADDRESS OF THE OWNER, I WAS AND ADDRESS OF THE OWNER, I WAS AND ADDRESS OF THE OWNER, I WAS ADDRESS OF	and the state of t	rapid and the section of the section ( ) as the first term of the section of the	and a second sec
						-

#### HOST MEDIATED ASSAY REPORT SHEET

COMPOUND: FDA 71-38 ORGANISM: SACCHAROMYCES D-3 DOSE LEVEL: LD5 - 3500. MG/KG TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, SUBACUTE DATE STARTED: 7/15/74 **C** .... D TOTAL CFU TOTAL RECOMB/CFU ANIMAL RAW CFU X SCREENED X RECOMBINANTS SCREENED X. NUMBER 10E5/1.0ML 10E5/1.0ML /1.0ML 10E-5 997.00 6.00 1.00 6.02 1079.00 1.08 10.00 9.27 1297.00 1.30 6.00 4.63 714.00 0.71 11.00 15.41 1412.00 1.41 17.00 12.04 985.00 . 0.98 7.00 7.11 1243.00 1.24 11.00 8.85 1340.00 1.34 19.00 14.18 1041.00 1.04 11.00 10.57 10 1379.00 1.38 13.00 9.43 NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 10 NO. OF DEAD EQUALS O NO. UF CONTAMINATED EQUALS O NO. OF CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS COL. B COL. C COL. D (X 10E5) (X 10E0) (X 10E-5)MEAN 1.15 11.10 9.75 RANGE 0.70 13.00 10.78 MAX 1.41 19.00 15.41 MIN · 0.71 6.00 4.63 NO OUTLIERS

#### 5. Cytogenetics - Test I

#### a. In vivo

The chromosomal abnormalities observed in the positive controls were significantly higher than either the negative controls or the compound. The maximum effect of the positive control was observed at 48 hours after administering the compound. A depression of the mitotic index was observed in the positive control animals. This compound appeared to slightly depress the mitotic activity of the bone marrow. The percentage of breaks was elevated but not significantly different from the negative controls. The frequency of breaks in the negative controls was well within the range that we have seen in the past.

#### b. <u>In vitro</u>

Anaphase preparations were examined in this test.

The positive control compound produced a significantly higher percentage of aberrations on the chromosomes than the negative control or the test compound. Depression of the mitotic index due to the positive compound was not as pronounced as in the <u>in vivo</u> test. The effect of the compound was observed to be within normal limits. Negative controls were well within normal limits.

C. CYTOGENETIC SUMMARY SHEETS

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-38

METHYLPARABEN

TEST I



FDA 71-38 ACUTE STUDY METAPHASE SUMMARY SHEET

Compound	Dosage (mg/kg)	Time*	No. of <u>Animals</u>	No. of Cells	Mitotic Index %***	% Cells with Breaks	% Cells with Reunion	% Cells other Aber.**	% Cells with Aber.++
Negative Control	Saline	6	3	150	14	1	0	0	1
		24	3	150	9	2	0	n	2
		48	3	150	12	ī	ŏ	ŏ	ī
Low Level	5.0	6	5	250	- 10	2	0	0	2
		24	5	250	10	n	Ď	Ö	Ō
		48	5	250	6	ŏ	Ŏ	ŏ	ŏ
Intermediate Level	50.0	6	5	250	. 12	1	0	n	1
		24	5	250	8	2	ň	ň	ż
		48	5	250	7	2	0	. 0	2
	•	70	5	250		. <b>.</b>	U	0	3
LD <sub>5</sub>	500.0	6	5	250	9	3	. 0	0	3
3		24	5	250	9	. 3	0	Õ	3
		48	5	250	6	3	ŏ	Ŏ	3
Positive Control (TEM)	0.3	48	5	250	3	31	12	6(a)	44

Time of kill after injection (hours).

Cells that have polyploidy (P), pulverization (pp), fragments (f) or greater than 10 aberrations (a).

Percent of cells in mitosis: 500 cells observed/animal.

Duplicate aberrations in a single cell will cause this to be a % less than a summation of the % aberration seen.

#### **METHYLPARABEN** FDA 71-38 SUBACUTE STUDY METAPHASE SUMMARY SHEET

Compound	Dosage (mg/kg)*	No. of <u>Animals</u>	No. of Cells	Mitotic Index %***	% Cells with Breaks	% Cells with <u>Reunion</u>	% Cells other <u>Aber.**</u>	% Cells with <u>Aber.</u>
Negative Control	Saline	3	150	8	2	0	0	2
Low Level	5.0	5	250	6	1	0	0	1
Intermediate Level	50.0	5	250	5	2	0	0	2
LD <sub>5</sub>	500.0	5	250	9	4	0	0	4

<sup>\*</sup> Dosage 1X/day X 5 days.

\*\* Cells that have polyploidy (P), pulverization (pp), fragments (f) or greater than 10 aberrations (a).

\*\*\* Percent of cells in mitosis: 500 cells observed/animal.

#### **METHYLPARABEN** FDA 71-38 ANAPHASE SUMMARY SHEET

Compound	Dosage (mcg/ml)	Mitotic Index**	No. of Cells	% Cells with Acentric Frag.	% Cells with Bridges	% Multipolar Cells	% Cells other Aber.*	% Cells with Aber.++
Low Level	1.0	2	100	1	0	0	0	1
Medium Level	10.0	2	100	Ò	0	0	0	0
High Level	100.0	3	100	1	. 1	0	0	2
Negative Control	Saline	3	100	1	0	0	.0	1
Positive Control (TEM)	0.1	2	100	10	18	0	1(pp)	24

۲.

Cells that have polyploidy (P), pulverization (pp), fragments (f) or greater than 10 aberrations (a).
 Percent of cells in mitosis: 200 cells observed/dose level.
 Duplicate aberrations in a single cell will cause this to be a % less than a summation of the % aberration seen.

#### 6. Cytogenetics - Test II

Compound FDA 71-38, Methylparaben, was administered to male rats with an average body weight of 350 grams. The dosage level employed was 5000 mg/kg in both the acute and subacute sequences. Metaphase chromosome spreads were prepared from the bone marrow cells of these animals and scored for chromosomal aberrations. Neither the variety nor the number of these aberrations differed significantly from the negative controls; hence, compound FDA 71-38, Methylparaben, can be considered non-mutagenic as measured by this test.

It should be noted that the subacute sequence was run twice. The first run yielded almost no readable spreads. Therefore, a second group of animals was dosed. This time we were able to count 183 readable spreads on four slides. Of importance is the fact that fewer mitoses were present, as reflected in the mitotic index, than we would expect from the negative controls. This suggests that compound FDA 71-38, Methylparaben, may interfere with motisis when administered subacutely at this high dosage level, and might be worthy of future exploration.

CYTOGENETIC SUMMARY SHEETS

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-38

METHYLPARABEN

TEST II



#### METHYLPARABEN FDA 71-38 ACUTE STUDY METAPHASE SUMMARY SHEET

Compound	Dosage (mg/kg)	<u>Time*</u>	No. of Animals	No. of Cells	Mitotic Index %++	No. of Cells w/ Breaks**	No. of Cells w/ Reunion**	No. of Cells With Other Aberrations**+	No. of Cells w/ Aber.**
High Level	5000	6 24 48	5 5 5	214 250 250	6.10 5.45 6.17	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
Negative Control	Saline	6 24 48	3 3 3	130 150 150	5.17 10.27 12.67	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
Positive Control (TEM)	0.3	24	5	250	4.48	9(3.6)	24(9.6)	>6(2.4) 12f(4.8)	33(12.8)

<sup>\*</sup> Time of kill after dosing.
\*\* Numbers in ( ) are percent aberrations per total cells counted.
+ Symbols: > = greater than 10 aberrations per cell and f = fragments.
++ Based on a count of at least 500 cells per animal.

## METHYLPARABEN FDA 71-38 SUBACUTE STUDY METAPHASE SUMMARY SHEET

Compound	Dosage (mg/kg)*	No. of <u>Animals</u> '	No. of Cells	Mitotic Index %+	No. of Cells w/ Breaks	No. of Cells w/ Reunion	No. of Cells w/ Other Aber.	No. of Cells w/ Aber.
High Level	5000	5	183	1.52	0	0	0	0
Negative Control	Saline	3	150	4.60	0	0	0	0

<sup>\*</sup>Dosage 1X/day X 5 days. +Based on a count of at least 500 cells per animal.

#### 7. Dominant Lethal Study - Test I

a. Acute study

Significant decreases in average <u>corpora Jutea</u>.

and preimplantation losses were seen in the experimental groups of weeks

1, 4 and 5.

b. Subacute study

Significant increases in average implantations and <u>corpora lutea</u> were seen in the experimental groups at week 4. Significant, dose-related, increases were seen in average resorptions in the high dose group at weeks 1 and 6.



#### c. DOMINANT LETHAL ASSAY SUMMARY SHEETS

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-38

**METHYLPARABEN** 

TEST I

(Through error the computer had been programmed so that a double rounding off of numbers occurred at print out. In no way does this alter the statistics which are calculated on the full unrounded numbers.)



TABLE I

COMPOUND 38

STUDY ACUTE

#### PERTILITY INDEX

	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 50.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 500.000 MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
!		. 1	83/119=0.70	12/20=0.60	13/20=0.65	11/20=0.55	10/20=0.50	10/20=0.50
•		2	92/119=0.78	11/20=0.55	14/20=0.70	13/20=0.65	14/20=0.70	4/20=0.20*
		3	96/118=0.82	8/20=0.40	7/20=0.35	15/20=0.75*	12/20=0.60	3/20=0.15 **
. •	•	4	104/120=0.87	14/20=0.70	17/20=0.85	16/20=0.80	16/20=0.80	5/20=0.25**
		5	95/119=0.80	15/20=0.75	15/20=0.75	16/20=0.80	16/19=0.85	11/20=0.55
		5	96/119=0.81	13/20=0.65	17/20=0.85	18/20=0.90	19/20=0.95*	16/20=0.80
		7	103/118=0.88	14/20=0.70	16/20=0.80	18/20=0.90	19/20=0.95*	19/20=0.95*
		8	102/120=0.85	14/20=0.70	11/20=0.55	18/20=0.90	18/20=0.90	18/20=0.90

SYMBOLS ON PIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !, \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05
TWO !, \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

<sup>\*</sup> SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

<sup>!</sup> SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE II
COMPOUND 38 STUDY ACUTE

#### AVERAGE NUMBER OF IMPLANTATIONS PER PREGNANT FEMALE

	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 50.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 500.000 Mg/kg	POSITIVE CONTROL
		1	1026/ 83=12.4	154/12=12.8	168/13=12.9	139/11=12.6	131/10=13.1	102/10=10.2*@
	•	2	1099/ 92=12.0	124/11=11.3	177/14=12.6+@I	152/13=11.7	178/14=12.7*31	32/ 4= 8.0
		3	1178/ 96=12.3	98/8=12.3	79/ 7=11.3	191/15=12.7	132/12=11.0	37/ 3=12.3
•	<u>.</u>	<b>4</b>	1231/104=11.8	177/14=12.6	212/17=12.5	187/16=11.7	176/16=11.0	54/ 5=10.8
		5	1121/ 95=11.8	169/15=11.3	167/15=11.1	190/16=11.9	201/16=12.6 ar	129/11=11.7
		5	1125/ 96=11.7	167/13=12.9 *ðI	188/17=11.1*@D	215/18=11.9	224/19=11.8	193/16=12.1
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7	1260/103=12.2	176/14=12.6	215/16=13.4 *aa	216/18=12.0	231/19=12.2	222/19=11.7
	•	3	1192/102=11.7	161/14=11.5	122/11=11.1	213/18=11.8	205/18=11.4	205/18=11.4

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

E AND \* = TWO-TAILED TEST ! AND D = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !,  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\partial$ , \* = SIGNIPICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !,  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\partial$ , \* = SIGNIPICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

<sup>\*.</sup>a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

<sup>8,1</sup> SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE III
COMPOUND 38 STUDY ACUTE

#### AVERAGE CORPORA LUTEA PER PREGNANT FEMALE

_	ARITH DOSE		HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE 1 CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 50.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 500.000 MG/KG	POSITIVE
5 I		1	1126/ 83=13.6	196/12=16.3	188/13=14.53D I	156/11=14.2*a	∌D 139/10=13.9*∌D	136/10=13.6*3D
56!! 56!!	ε !!	2	1220/ 92=13.3	139/11=12.6	205/14=14.6**3 *aI	•	ภิภา 213/14=15.2**ภิภา อา **ภิภา	
		3	1254/ 96=13.1	110/ 8=13.8	95/ 7=13.6	213/15=14.2 *a		40/ 3=13.3
S 11:	1	4	1316/104=12.7	216/14=15.4		a⊅D220/16=13.8*a *a	an 209/16=13.1**aa! ai	D 65/ 5=13.0*22
s !!		5	1194/ 95=12.6	234/15=15.6 **@@]		D210/16=13.1**	*∂∂D212/16=13.3**∂∂!	D140/11=12.7**@
56!!	1133	5	1233/ 96=12.8	213/13=16.4			321/19=16.9 *@@I **@@I	
6 !! 6 !	!	7	1319/103=12.8	224/14=16.0				309/19=16.3
		3	1410/102=13.8	189/14=13.5	138/11=12.6 *ap		233/18=12.9	227/18=12.6

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND \* = TWO-TAILED TEST
! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !,6,3,\* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05
TWO !,6,0,\* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

\*, & SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

E, ! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE IV
COMPOUND 38 STUDY ACUTE

#### AVERAGE PREIMPLANTATION LOSSES PER PREGNANT FEMALE

	ARITH DOSE		HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE D CONTROL	OOSE LEVEL 5.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 50.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 500.000 Mg/kg	POSIFIVE CONTROL
€ !	!	1	100/83= 1.2	42/12= 3.5 *aI	20/13= 1.5	17/11= 1.6	8/10= 0.8*3D	34/10= 3.4 *@@
1133	ε t	2	121/ 92= 1.3	15/11= 1.4	28/14= 2.0 ai		35/14= 2.5 ai *aai	
EE1!	1133	3	76/ 96= 0.8	12/ 8= 1.5	16/ 7= 2.3	22/15= 1.5	26/12= 2.2 *aar	
C & ! !	E !!	4	85/104= 0.8	39/14= 2.8 **@@I		DaD 33/16= 2.1	33/16= 2.1 *@I	11/ 5= 2.2
1133	s !!		·	**@3I	•		*@@D 11/16= 0.7**@@	
1133	1133	. <b>6</b>	108/ 96= 1.1	46/13= 3.5 **@@I	67/17= 3.9 **a	56/18= 3.1 00I **	97/19= 5.1 *33I **aa	68/16= 4.3 I ***
1133	Ī	7	59/103= 0.6		30/16= 1.9 **a		30/19= 1.6 ai *ai	87/19= 4.6 **a
		8	218/102= 2.1	28/14= 2.0	16/11= 1.5	24/18= 1.3	28/18= 1.6	22/18= 1.2

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

E AND \* = TWO-TAILED TEST ! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !,  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\partial$ , \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !,  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\partial$ , \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

\*, a significantly different from control

E, I STGNIFTCANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE V

COMPOUND 38

STUDY ACUTE

#### AVERAGE RESORPTIONS (DEAD IMPLANTS) PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 50.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 500.000 MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
ا ا ع	!	1	16/ 83=0.20	4/12=0.34	5/13=0.39	5/11=0.46	5/10=0.50 FI	36/10=3.60**@DI **@@I
		2	35/ 92=0.39	8/11=0.73	5/14=0.36	3/13=0.24aD	7/14=0.50	3/ 4=0.75
		3	53/ 96=0.56	0/8=0.0	5/ 7=0.723I	3/15=0.20 *aD	5/12=0.42* <b>3I</b>	6/ 3=2.00æI
:		4	46/104=0.45	7/14=0.50	4/17=0.24	7/16=0.44	8/16=0.50	13/ 5=2.60
		5	52/ 95=0.55	8/15=0.54	6/15=0.40	10/16=0.63	10/16=0.63	50/11=4.55**aar **aar
		6	40/ 96=0.42	5/13=0.39	7/17=0.42	10/18=0.56	8/19=0.43	20/16=1.25*aI *aaI
	•	7	45/103=0.44	8/14=0.58	9/16=0.57	4/18=0.23	9/19=0.48	14/19=0.74
		8	56/102=0.55	9/14=0.65	3/11=0.28	16/18=0.89	12/18=0.67	24/18=1.34 *@ai

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND \* = TWO-TAILED TEST

ONE  $!, \varepsilon, \partial, * = SIGNIFICANT$  AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO  $!, \varepsilon, \partial, * = SIGNIFICANT$  AT P LESS THAN 0.01

<sup>!</sup> AND a = ONE-TAILED TEST

<sup>\*,</sup> D SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

S, I SIGUIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE VI
COMPOUND 38 STUDY ACUTE

#### PROPORTION OF FEMALES WITH ONE OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 50.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 500.000 MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
!	! !	1	16/ 83=0.20	3/12=0.25	4/13=0.31	4/11=0.37	5/10=0.50	7/10=0.70*
		2	26/ 92=0.29	6/11=0.55	4/14=0.29	1/13=0.08*	4/14=0.29	1/ 4=0.25
		3	32/ 96=0.34	0/8=0.0	3/ 7=0.43*	2/15=0.14	4/12=0.34	2/ 3=0.67*
		<b>4</b>	34/104=0.33	5/14=0.36	4/17=0.24	4/16=0.25	4/16=0.25	3/ 5=0.60
		5	33/ 95=0.35	3/15=0.20	4/15=0.27	7/16=0.44	6/16=0.38	11/11=1.00**
		6	31/ 96=0.33	5/13=0.39	5/17=0.30	8/18=0.45	6/19=0.32	10/16=0.63
•	•	7	33/103=0.33	5/14=0.36	6/16=0.38	4/18=0.23	6/19=0.32	9/19=0.48
		8	37/102=0.37	7/14=0.50	3/11=0.28	7/18=0.39	9/18=0.50	13/18=0.73

SYMBOLS ON PIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !, \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05
TWO !, \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

<sup>\*</sup> SIGNIPICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

<sup>!</sup> SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE VII
COMPOUND 38 STUDY ACUTE

#### PORPORTION OF FEMALES WITH TWO OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 50.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 500.000 Mg/Kg	POSITIVE CONTROL
	1 .	0/83=0.0	1/12=0.09	1/13=0.08	1/11=0.10	0/10=0.0	7/10=0.70** **
	2	9/ 92=0.10	2/11=0.19	1/14=0.08	1/13=0.08	3/14=0.22	1/ 4=0.25
	3	16/ 96=0.17	0/8=0.0	1/ 7=0.15	1/15=0.07	1/12=0.09	2/ 3=0.67*
	4	9/104=0.09	2/14=0.15	0/17=0.0	1/16=0.07	3/16=0.19	3/ 5=0.60* **
	5	14/ 95=0.15	2/15=0.14	2/15=0.14	2/16=0.13	4/16=0.25	9/11=0.82**
	6	9/ 96=0.10	0/13=0.0	1/17=0.06	2/18=0.12	2/19=0.11	6/16=0.38*
	7	8/103=0.08	3/14=0.22	2/16=0.13	0/18=0.0 *	2/19=0.11	3/19=0.16
	8	16/102=0.16	2/14=0.15	0/11=0.0	4/18=0.23	1/18=0.06	3/18=0.17

<sup>·</sup>SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !, \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

<sup>\*</sup> SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

<sup>!</sup> SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE VIII
COMPOUND 38 STUDY ACUTE

#### DEAD IMPLANTS / TOTAL IMPLANTS

WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 50.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 500.000 MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
1	16/1026=0.02	4/154=0.03	5/168=0.03	5/139=0.04	5/131=0.04	36/102=0.36**{ **36
2	35/1099=0.04	8/124=0.07	5/177=0.03	3/152=0.02	7/178=0.04	3/ 32=0.10
3	53/1178=0.05	0/98=0.0	5/ 79=0.07 aad	3/191=0.02 a	5/132=0.04*@I	6/ 37=0.17
4	46/1231=0.04	7/177=0.04	4/212=0.02 *a	7/187=0.04	8/176=0.05	13/ 54=0.25
5	52/1121=0.05	8/169=0.05	6/167=0.04	10/190=0.06	10/201=0.05	50/129=0.39*7: **2?
6	40/1125=0.04	5/167=0.03	7/188=0.04	10/215=0.05	8/224=0.04	20/193=0.11*3: 3I
7	45/1260=0.04	8/176=0.05	9/215=0.05	4/216=0.02	9/231=0.04	14/222=0.07
8	56/1192=0.05	9/161=0.06	3/122=0.03	16/213=0.08	12/205=0.06	24/205=0.12 *ai

SYMBOLS ON PIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

<sup>\* =</sup> TWO-TAILED TEST

a = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE \*, a = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO \*, a = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

<sup>\*,</sup> a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT PROM CONTROL

TABLE I
COMPOUND 38 STUDY SUBACUTE

#### PERTILITY INDEX

LOG Dose	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 50.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 500.000 MG/KG
		1	82/119=0.69	10/20=0.50	12/20=0.60	16/20=0.80*	14/20=0.70
		2	89/120=0.75	15/20=0.75	14/20=0.70	18/20=0.90	15/20=0.75
		3	89/119=0.75	12/20=0.60	9/20=0.45	12/20=0.60	14/20=0.70
	-	4	91/114=0.80	13/20=0.65	16/20=0.80	19/20=0.95*	16/20=0.80
		5	92/119=0.78	16/20=0.80	18/20=0.90	17/20=0.85	16/20=0.80
		6	101/119=0.85	19/20=0.95	17/20=0.85	18/20=0.90	16/20=0.80
		7	100/115=0.87	17/20=0.85	16/20=0.80	17/20=0.85	16/20=0.80

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !,\* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05
TWO !,\* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

<sup>\*</sup> SIGNIPICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

<sup>!</sup> SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE II
COMPOUND 38 STUDY SUBACUTE

#### AVERAGE NUMBER OF IMPLANTATIONS PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG Dose	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 50.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 500.000 MG/KG
		1	966/ 82=11.8	118/10=11.8	141/12=11.8	178/16=11.1	174/14=12.4
		2	1115/ 89=12.5	186/15=12.4	166/14=11.9	227/18=12.6	190/15=12.7
•		3	1049/ 89=11.8	147/12=12.3	115/ 9=12.8	149/12=12.4	171/14=12.2
		4	1085/ 91=11.9	136/13=10.5 *ap	196/16=12.3*@1	246/19=13.0**	*@@I186/16=11.6
		. , 5	1110/ 92=12.1	189/16=11.8	207/18=11.5	202/17=11.9	188/16=11.8
		5	1191/101=11.8	246/19=13.0	194/17=11.4	217/18=12.1	193/16=12.1
		7	1138/100=11.4	214/17=12.6	191/16=11.9	192/17=11.3	188/16=11.8

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIPICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

E AND \* = TWO-TAILED TEST ! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !.6.0.\* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !.6.0.\* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

\*, a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

E,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE III

COMPOUND 38

STUDY SUBACUTE

#### AVERAGE CORPORA LUTEA PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG Dose	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5.000 MG/KG		OSE LEVEL 500.000 Mg/Kg
		1	1079/ 82=13.2	139/10=13.9	175/12=14.6 *@I	204/16=12.8	198/14=14.1
		2	1189/ 89=13.4	206/15=13.7	195/14=13.9	265/18=14.7 *aI	203/15=13.5
1133	8 !!		1125/ 89=12.6	165/12=13.8	122/ 9=13.6	159/12=13.3	200/14=14.3 **@@I
1	. • .	4	1134/ 91=12.5	151/13=11.6 ap	205/16=12.8@I	276/19=14.5**a *aa	ð1205/16=12.8ðI I
		5	1157/ 92=12.6	209/16=13.1	239/18=13.3	226/17=13.3	198/16=12.4
::33	1133	6	1268/101=12.6	312/19=16.4			259/16=16.2 I **@@I
88!!	1:33	7	1215/100=12.2	259/17=15.2 **aa		231/17=13.6aD ai *aa	250/16=15.6 I **aaI

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

E AND \* = TWO-TAILED TEST ! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !,  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\partial$ , \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !,  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\partial$ , \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

\*, @ SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL E,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

COMPOUND 38

TABLE IV STUDY SUBACUTE

#### AVERAGE PREIMPLANTATION LOSSES PER PREGNANT FEMALE

-	ARITH DOSE			ATIVE DO	OSE LEVE 5.000		SE LEVEL 50.000 mg/	DOSE LEVEL KG 500.000 M	
		1	113/ 82= 1.4 21/1	0= 2.1	34/12=	2.8 *aai	26/16= 1.	6 24/14=	1.7
E !	•	2	74/89= 0.8 20/1	5= 1.3	29/14=	2.1 *ðī	38/18= 2.	1 13/15= **@@I	0.9
E !!	! !!33	3	76/89= 0.9 18/1	2= 1.5 *@I	7/ 9=	8.0	10/12= 0.	8 29/14=	2.1 **aaI
66!!	1	4	49/ 91= 0.5 15/1	3= 1.2	9/16=	0.6	30/19= 1.	6 19/16= *aI	1.2 ar
!	1	5	47/ 92= 0.5 20/1	5= 1.3 *@@I	32/18=	1.8 **aai		4 10/16= *aI	0.6
1133	1133	6	77/101= 0.8 66/1	9= 3.5 **@@I		3.7 **aai	47/18= 2.	66/16= **@@I	4.1 **@@I
1133	88!!	, 7	77/100= 0.8 45/1	7= 2.7 *aı	42/16=	2.6 **aaī	39/17= 2.	3 62/16=	3.9 **aaī

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

E AND \* = TWO-TAILED TEST ! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !.E.d.\* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !.E.d.\* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

\*, a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL E,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE V
COMPOUND 38 STUDY SUBACUIE

AVERAGE RESORPTIONS (DEAD IMPLANTS) PER PREGNANT FEMALE

						•	
LOG Dose	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 50.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 500.000 MG/KG
!	ε ! !	1	33/ 82=0.41	2/10=0.20	5/12=0.42	4/16=0.25	10/14=0.72*aI
		2	45/ 89=0.51	4/15=0.27	6/14=0.43	6/18=0.34	7/15=0.47
	:	3	47/ 89=0.53	8/12=0.67	5/ 9=0.56	3/12=0.25	4/14=0.29
		4	51/ 91=0.57	10/13=0.77	14/16=0.88	10/19=0.53	8/16=0.50
		5	56/ 92=0.61	15/16=0.94	7/18=0.39@D	12/17=0.71	9/16=0.57
1		6	46/101=0.46	1/19=0.06	5/17=0.30 aad	5/18=0.28	7/16=0.44*31
	ε!	7	52/100=0.52	7/17=0.42	5/16=0.32	8/17=0.48	13/16=0.82

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

E AND \* = TWO-TAILED TEST ! AND a = ONE-TAILED TEST .

ONE  $!, \varepsilon, \partial, * = SIGNIFICANT$  AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO  $!, \varepsilon, \partial, * = SIGNIFICANT$  AT P LESS THAN 0.01

<sup>\*, &</sup>amp; SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL E.! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE VI SUBACUTE

#### PROPORTION OF FEMALES WITH ONE OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 50.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 500.000 M3/KG
		1	27/ 82=0.33	1/10=0.10	4/12=0.34	4/16=0.25	8/14=0.58*
		2	29/ 89=0.33	3/15=0.20	4/14=0.29	5/18=0.28	4/15=0.27
	•	3	30/ 89=0.34	4/12=0.34	3/ 9=0.34	3/12=0.25	3/14=0.22
	- -	. 4	30/ 91=0.33	8/13=0.62	9/16=0.57	5/19=0.27*	5/16=0.32
		5	39/ 92=0.43	10/16=0.63	6/18=0.34	5/17=0.30	7/16=0.44
		б	32/101=0.32	1/19=0.06	3/17=0.18	4/18=0.23	5/16=0.32*
	! •	7	28/100=0.28	6/17=0.36	5/16=0.32	5/17=0.30	9/16=0.57

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !, \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

<sup>\*</sup> SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

<sup>!</sup> SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE VII
COMPOUND 38 STUDY SUBACUTE

#### PORPORTION OF FEMALES WITH TWO OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

OG OSE	ARITH DOSE	MESK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 50.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 500.000 MG/KG
•		1	5/ 82=0.07	1/10=0.10	1/12=0.09	0/16=0.0	2/14=0.15
		2	7/ 89=0.08	1/15=0.07	2/14=0.15	1/18=0.06	3/15=0.20
	•	3	10/89=0.12	4/12=0.34	1/ 9=0.12	0/12=0.0 *	1/14=0.08
	·	4	12/ 91=0.14	2/13=0.16	4/16=0.25	2/19=0.11	2/16=0.13
		5	14/ 92=0.16	4/16=0.25	1/18=0.06	4/17=0.24	2/16=0.13
	•	6	9/101=0.09	0/19=0.0	2/17=0.12	1/18=0.06	2/16=0.13
		7	13/100=0.13	1/17=0.06	0/16=0.0	3/17=0.18	4/16=0.25

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !, \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

<sup>\*</sup> SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

<sup>!</sup> SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

### TABLE VIII COMPOUND 38 STUDY SUBACUTE

#### DEAD IMPLANTS / TOTAL IMPLANTS

WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 50.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 500.000 Mg/Kg
1	33/ 966=0.04	2/118=0.02	5/141=0.04	4/178=0.03	10/174=0.06@I
2	45/1115=0.05	4/186=0.03	6/166=0.04	6/227=0.03	7/190=0.04
3	47/1049=0.05	8/147=0.06	5/115=0.05	3/149=0.03 a	4/171=0.03
4	51/1085=0.05	10/136=0.08	14/196=0.08	10/246=0.05	8/186=0.05
5	56/1110=0.06	15/189=0.08	7/207=0.04*@D	12/202=0.06	9/188=0.05
6	46/1191=0.04	1/246=0.01	5/194=0.03aI *aaD	5/217=0.03	7/193=0.04@I
7	52/1138=0.05	7/214=0.04	5/191=0.03	8/192=0.05	13/188=0.0791

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES USING .
THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

<sup>\* =</sup> TWO-TAILED TEST

<sup>&</sup>amp; = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE \*, a = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO \*, a = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

<sup>\*, &</sup>amp; SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

#### 8. Dominant Lethal Study - Test II

Compound FDA 71-38, Methylparaben, was administered to ten male rats (400 grams) at a dose level of 5,000 mg/kg according to acute (single dose) and subacute (five doses) protocols. Each treated male rat was mated with two virgin female rats each week for 7 (subacute) or 8 (acute) weeks. Two weeks after mating, female rats were sacrificed and the fertility index, preimplantation loss and lethal effects on the embryos were determined and compared with these same parameters calculated from negative (saline-dosed) and positive (0.3 mg/kg TEM-dosed) control animals.

The values calculated for these parameters from animals dosed with compound FDA 71-38, Methylparaben, did not significantly vary from those obtained from the negative controls, except for a significant increase in the number of dead implants per total of weeks two and six. In these respective examples one and two animals were found with six or more dead embryos. Omission of these animals from the sample would bring the calculated values into line with those determined for the negative controls. Whether these are sporadic phenomena or due to compound effects can not be determined from the data, although the former is suggested by the lack of effect on the other parameters. TEM caused significant preimplantation loss and embryo resorption during the first five weeks.

Comparing these data with the previously obtained values for dose levels of 500 mg/kg, 50 mg/kg and 5 mg/kg revealed no dose response or time trend patterns, which would suggest a dominant lethal effect for compound FDA 71-38, Methylparaben.

# DOMINANT LETHAL ASSAY SUMMARY SHEETS CONTRACT FDA 71-268 COMPOUND FDA 71-38 METHYLPARABEN

TEST II

(Through error the computer had been programmed so that a double rounding off of numbers occurred at print out. In no way does this alter the statistics which are calculated on the full unrounded numbers.)



TABLE I

COMPOUND 38

STUDY ACUTE

#### FERTILITY INDEX

LOG ARITH DOSE DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
	1.	124/179=0.70	14/ 20=0.70	13/ 20=0.65	15/ 20=0.75
	2	136/179=0.76	18/ 20=0.90	18/ 20=0.90	17/ 20=0.85
	3	135/178=0.76	19/ 20=0.95	17/ 20=0.85	16/ 20=0.80
	4	154/180=0.86	18/ 20=0.90	17/ 20=0.85	12/ 20=0.60*
	5	145/179=0.82	15/ 20=0.75	17/ 20=0.85	15/ 20=0.75
	6	143/179=0.80	13/ 20=0.65	17/ 20=0.85	17/ 20=0.85
	7	150/177=0.85	19/ 20=0.95	16/ 20=0.80	17/ 20=0.85
	8	150/180=0.84	16/ 20=0.80	17/ 20=0.85	16/ 20=0.80

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !, \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05
TWO !, \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

<sup>\*</sup> SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

<sup>!</sup> SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE II

COMPOUND 38

STUDY ACUTE

#### AVERAGE NUMBER OF IMPLANTATIONS PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL Control		SATIVE ONTROL		SE LEVEL 00. MG/KG		OSITIVE CONTROL
		1	1540/124=12.4	180/	14=12.9	152/	13±11.7	129/	15= 8.6**aaD **aaD
		2	1629/136=12.0	242/	18=13.4 ai	235/	18±13.1 ∂I	127/	17= 7.5**aaD **aaD
		3	1631/135=12.1	236/	19=12.4	223/	17=13.1	92/	16= 5.8**aaD **aaD
		4	1845/154=12.0	218/	18=12.1	226/	17±13.3		12= 5.8**aaD **aaD
		5	1707/145=11.8	199/	15=13.3	-	17±12.9 ∂I	190/	15=12.7
		6	1714/143=12.0	154/	13=11.9	220/	17±12.9	213/	17=12.5
		7	1845/150=12.3	237/	19=12.5	204/	16=12.8	238/	17±14.0*@I **@@I
		8	1785/150=11.9	193/	16=12.1	220/	17=12.9	207/	16=12.9

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTBOL GROUP

- & AND \* = TWO-TAILED TEST
  ! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST
- ONE  $!, \mathcal{E}, \partial, * = SIGNIFICANT$  AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO  $!, \mathcal{E}, \partial, * = SIGNIFICANT$  AT P LESS THAN 0.01
- \*, a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL
- ε.! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE III

COMPOUND 38

STUDY ACUTE

#### AVERAGE CORPORA LUTEA PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG DOS E	ARITH DOSE		HISTORICAL CONTROL		SATIVE ONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG		POSITI VE CONTROL	
		1	1732/124=14.0	204/	14=14.6	183/	13=14.1	168/	15=11.2**@@D **@@D
,		2	1838/136=13.5	282/	18=15.7		18=16.0 **aa		17=12.1**@D **@@D
	,	3	1799/135=13.3	288/	19=15.2 **@ä		17=15.0 *aI	213/	16=13.3*@@D
	-	. 4	2056/154=13.4	243/	18=13.5	260/	17=15.30I +aI	164/	12=13.7
		5	1914/145=13.2	218/	15=14.5	•	17=15.4 **aa		15=14.5 ai
		6	1924/143=13.5	176/	13=13.5	239/	17=14.1	246/	17=14.5 ai
		7	2013/150=13.4	271/	19=14.3 aI	243/	16=15.2 *@ï	276/	17=16.2*aI **aaI
		8	2089/150=13.9	241/	16=15.1	255/	17=15.0	245/	16=15.3 *@@I

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

E AND \* = TWO-TAILED TEST ! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !, &, @, \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05TWO !, &, @, \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

\*, a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

&,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE IV
COMPOUND 38 STUDY ACUTE

#### AVERAGE PREIMPLANTATION LOSSES PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATI VE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL	
		1	192/124= 1.6	24/ 14= 1.7	31/ 13= 2.4 @I	39/ 15= 2.6 *@I	
		2	209/136= 1.5	40/ 18= 2.2	53/ 18= 2.9 **@a	79/ 17= 4.7**aai **aai	
		3	168/135= 1.2	52/ 19= 2.7 *aai	32/ 17= 1.9 aI	121/ 16= 7.6**aaI **aaI	
		4	211/154= 1.4	25/ 18= 1.4	34/ 17= 2.0	95/ 12= 7.9**adı **adı	
		5	207/145= 1.4	19/ 15= 1.3	42/ 17± 2.5 *ai	27/ 15= 1.8	
		6	210/143= 1.5	22/ 13= 1.7	19/ 17= 1.1	33/ 17= 1.9	
		7	168/150= 1.1	34/ 19= 1.8 @I	39/ 16± 2.4	38/ 17= 2.2 *aI	
		8	304/150= 2.0	48/ 16= 3.0 *ai	35/ 17= 2.1	38/ 16= 2.4	

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND \* = TWO-TAILED TEST ! AND & = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE  $!, \varepsilon, a, * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05$ TWO  $!, \varepsilon, a, * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01$ 

<sup>\*,</sup> a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

<sup>6,!</sup> SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

#### AVERAGE RESORPTIONS (DEAD IMPLANTS) PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG ARITH DOSE DOSE WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
1	30/124=0.25	7/ 14=0.50	6/ 13=0.47	104/ 15=6.94**aaI **aaI
2	65/136=0.48	7/ 18=0.39	15/ 18=0.84	118/ 17=6.95**aaI **aaI
. 3	70/135=0.52	19/ 19=1.00 ai	18/ 17=1.06 @I	86/ 16=5.38**aaI **aaI
4	77/154=0.50	8/ 18=0.45	9/ 17=0.53	60/ 12=5.00**aaI **aaI
5	83/145=0.58	15/ 15=1.00	16/ 17=0.95	46/ 15=3.07**aaI **aaI
6	73/143=0.52	3/ 13=0.24	11/ 17=0.65	10/ 17=0.59
7	76/150=0.51	11/ 19=0.58	15/ 16=0.94	16/ 17=0.95 *aI
. 8	76/150=0.51	11/ 16=0.69	13/ 17=0.77	18/ 16=1.13 **@@I

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

 $\varepsilon$  AND \* = TWO-TAILED TEST

! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !, &, @, \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, &, @, \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

\*, a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

E,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE VI
COMPOUND 38 STUDY ACUTE

#### PROPORTION OF FEMALES WITH ONE OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
		1	26/124=0.21	5/ 14=0.36	<b>5/ 13</b> ±0.39	15/ 15=1.00** **
		2	46/136=0.34	6/ 18=0.34	10/ 18±0.56	17/ 17=1.00** **
		3	44/135=0.33	11/ 19=0.58	10/ 17±0.59	16/ 16=1.00** **
		4	58/154=0.38	6/ 18=0.34	7/ 17±0.42	12/ 12=1.00**
		5	51/145=0.36	9/ 15=0.60	9/ 17±0.53	14/ 15=0.94* **
		6	52/143=0.37	3/ 13=0.24	8/ 17=0.48	6/ 17=0.36
		7	54/150=0.36	8/ 19=0.43	8/ 16±0.50	11/ 17=0.65
		8	54/150=0.36	8/ 16=0.50	8/ 17±0.48	12/ 16=0.75

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !, \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05
TWO !, \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

<sup>\*</sup> SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

<sup>!</sup> SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE VII
COMPOUND 38 STUDY ACUTE

#### PORPORTION OF FEMALES WITH TWO OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

**S** .

LOG DOSE	ARITH Dose	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	HÉGATI VE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
		1	3/124=0.03	1/ 14=0.08	1/ 13=0.08	15/ 15=1.00**
		2	17/136=0.13	1/ 18=0.06	3/ 18=0.17	17/ 17=1.00** **
		3	21/135=0.16	4/ 19=0.22	4/ 17=0.24	15/ 16=0.94**
	-	4	13/154=0.09	2/ 18=0.12	2/ 17±0.12	10/ 12=0.84**
		5	21/145=0.15	3/ 15=0.20	5/ 17=0.30	11/ 15=0.74**
		6	17/143=0.12	0/ 13=0.0	2/ 17±0.12	3/ 17=0.18
		7	17/150=0.12	3/ 19=0.16	4/ 16±0.25	4/ 17=0.24
		8	19/150=0.13	1/ 16=0.07	5/ 17±0.30	4/ 16=0.25

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !,\* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !,\* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

<sup>\*</sup> SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

<sup>!</sup> SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

COMPOUND 38 TABLE VIII STUDY ACUTE

#### DEAD IMPLANTS / TOTAL IMPLANTS

WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATI VE Control	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
.1	30/1540=0.02	7/180=0.04	6/152±0.04	104/129=0.81**aaI **aaI
2	65/1629=0.04	7/242=0.03	15/235=0.06	118/127=0.93**aaI **aaI
3	70/1631=0.04	19/236=0.08	18/223=0.08	86/ 92=0.93**aaI **aaI
4	77/1845=0.04	8/218=0.04	9/226=0.04	60/ 69=0.87**aai **aai
5	83/1707=0.05	15/199=0.08	16/220±0.07	46/190=0.24**aaI **aaI
6	73/1714=0.04	3/154=0.02	11/220=0.05	10/213=0.05
7	76/1845=0.04	11/237=0.05	15/204=0.07	16/238=0.07
8	76/1785=0.04	11/193=0.06	13/220=0.06	18/207=0.09 **aaI

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

<sup>\* =</sup> TWO-TAILED TEST

a = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE \*, a = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05
TWO \*, a = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

<sup>\*,</sup> a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

TABLÉ I

COMPOUND 38

STUDY SUBACUTE

#### PERTILITY INDEX

LOG DOS E	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG
		1	118/179=0.66	16/ 20=0.80	16/ 20=0.80
		2	134/180=0.75	18/ 20=0.90	16/ 20=0.80
		3	136/179=0.76	20/ 20=1.00	14/ 20=0.70**
	-	4	135/174=0.78	18/ 20=0.90	20/ 20=1.00
	•	5	139/177=0.79	16/ 20=0.80	16/ 20=0.80
		6	150/179=0.84	18/ 20=0.90	19/ 20=0.95
		7	151/175=0.87	18/ 20=0.90	15/ 20=0.75

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

- ONE ! \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05
  TWO ! \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01
- \* SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL
- ! SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE II

COMPOUND 38

STUDY SUBACUTE

#### AVERAGE NUMBER OF IMPLANTATIONS PER PREGNANT PEMALE

	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATI VE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. NG/KG
		1	1428/118=12.1	202/ 16=12.6	197/ 16=12.3
	-	2	1663/134=12.4	241/ 18=13.4 *ai	193/ 16=12.1
•		3	1623/136=11.9	241/ 20=12.1	171/ 14=12.2
		4	1590/135=11.8	201/ 18=11.2	238/ 20=11.9
		5	1671/139=12.0	203/ 16=12.7	195/ 16=12.2
		6	1796/150=12.0	225/ 18=12.5	203/ 19=10.7
		7	1736/151=11.5	218/ 18=12.1	185/ 15=12.3

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

- & AND \* = TWO-TAILED TEST ! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST
- ONE  $!, \mathcal{E}, \partial, *$  = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO  $!, \mathcal{E}, \partial, *$  = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01
- \*, a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL
- 8,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE III

COMPOUND 38

STUDY SUBACUTE

\$ .

#### AVERAGE CORPORA LUTEA PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG ARITH HISTORICAL NEGATIVE DOSE LEVEL DOSE DOSE WEEK CONTROL CONTROL 5000. MG/KG

- 1 1597/118=13.5 258/ 16=16.1 211/ 16=13.2\*\*aap \*\*aai
- 2 1838/134=13.7 272/ 18=15.1 231/ 16=14.4
- 3 1781/136=13.1 304/ 20=15.2 204/ 14=14.6 \*\*aai \*\*aai
- 4 1707/135=12.6 246/ 18=13.7 305/ 20=15.3 \*\*aai
- 5 1840/139=13.2 239/ 16=14.9 237/ 16=14.8 \*\*aai ar
- 6 2029/150=13.5 261/ 18=14.5 243/ 19=12.8aD
- 7 1955/151=13.0 263/ 18=14.6 232/ 15=15.5 \*\*aai \*\*aai

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND \* = TWO-TAILED TEST ! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !,  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\partial$ , \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !,  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\partial$ , \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

\*, d SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL E,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE IV

COMPOUND 38

STUDY SUBACUTE

#### AVERAGE PREIMPLANTATION LOSSES PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORIC CONTRO			SATI' ONTRO			E LE	IG\KG Evel
•		1	169/118=	1.4	56/	16=	3.5 *aai	14/	16=	0.9**@@D
		2	175/134=	1.3	31/	18=	1.7	387	16=	2.4 *aI
	•	3	158/136=	1.2	63/	20=	3.2 **@@I	33/	14=	2.4 æI
	*	4	117/135=	0.9	45/	18=	2.5 *ai	67/	20=	3.4 **aai
		5	169/139=	1.2	36/	16=	2.3 ai	42/	16=	2.6
		6	233/150=	1.6	36/	18=	2.0	40/	19=	2.1
		7	219/151=	1.5	45/	18=	2.5 aI	47/	15=	3.1 **@@I

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND \* = TWO-TAILED TEST

! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE  $!, \varepsilon, \partial, * = SIGNIFICANT$  AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO  $!, \varepsilon, \partial, * = SIGNIFICANT$  AT P LESS THAN 0.01

\*, a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

E,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

#### TABLÉ V

COMPOUND 38

STUDY SUBACUTE

#### AVERAGE RESORPTIONS (DEAD IMPLANTS) PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG
		1	48/118=0.41	8/ 16=0.50	9/ 16=0.57
		2	72/134=0.54	5/ 18=0.28	20/ 16=1.25*@I
		3	85/136=0.63	5/ 20=0.25 *aD	10/ 14=0.72
	-	4	78/135=0.58	7/ 18=0.39	12/ 20=0.60
		5	85/139=0.62	11/ 16=0.69	10/ 16=0.63
		6	73/150=0.49	11/ 18=0.62	23/ 19=1.22 ar
		7	90/151=0.60	17/ 18=0.95 ai	11/ 15=0.74

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

 $\varepsilon$  AND \* = TWO-TAILED TEST

! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE  $!, \varepsilon, \partial, * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05$ TWO  $!, \varepsilon, \partial, * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01$ 

\*, a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

&, ! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLÉ VI COMPOUND 38 STUDY SUBACUTE

# PROPORTION OF FEMALES WITH ONE OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG
		1	37/118=0.32	5/ 16=0.32	6/ 16=0.38
		2	45/134=0.34	5/ 18=0.28	8/ 16=0.50
		3	51/136=0.38	4/ 20=0.20	6/ 14=0.43
	÷.	4	51/135=0.38	7/ 18=0.39	9/ 20=0.45
		5	59/139=0.43	8/ 16=0.50	7/ 16=0.44
		6	51/150=0.34	7/ 18=0.39	10/ 19=0.53
•		7	50/151=0.34	11/ 18=0.62	8/ 15=0.54

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !,\* = SIGNIPICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !,\* = SIGNIPICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

<sup>\*</sup> SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

<sup>!</sup> SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE VII
COMPOUND 38 STUDY SUBACUTE

## PORPORTION OF FEMALES WITH TWO OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG
		1 .	9/118=0.08	3/ 16=0.19	3/ 16=0.19
		2	14/134=0.11	0/ 18=0.0	6/ 16=0.38**
		3	21/136=0.16	1/ 20=0.05	2/ 14=0.15
		4	18/135=0.14	0/ 18=0.0	3/ 20=0.15
		5	20/139=0.15	3/ 16=0.19	2/ 16=0.13
		6	16/150=0.11	4/ 18=0.23	4/ 19=0.22
	•	7	21/151=0.14	4/ 18=0.23	2/ 15=0.14

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !, \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05
TWO !, \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

<sup>\*</sup> SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT PROM CONTROL

<sup>!</sup> SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

COMPOUND 38

TABLE VIII
STUDY SUBACUTE

#### DEAD IMPLANTS / TOTAL IMPLANTS

WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATI VE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG
1	48/1428=0.03	8/202=0.04	9/197=0.05
2	72/1663=0.04	5/241=0.02	20/193≓0.10 <b>÷a</b> I aI
3	85/1623=0.05	5/241=0.02 ap	10/171=0.06
4	78/1590=0.05	7/201=0.03	12/238=0.05
5	85/1671=0.05	11/203=0.05	10/195=0.05
6	73/1796=0.04	11/225=0.05	23/203=0.11aI *aI
7	90/1736=0.05	17/218=0.08	11/185=0.06

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

\* = TWO-TAILED TEST a = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE \*, a = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05
TWO \*, a = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

\* + a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

#### **APPENDICES**

## II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

## A. <u>Animal Husbandry</u>

Animals (Rats and Mice)

Ten to twelve week old rats (280 to 350 g) and male mice (25 to 30 g) were fed a commercial 4% fat diet and water ad libitum until they were put on experiment. Flow Laboratories random-bred, closed colony, Sprague-Dawley CD strain rats were used in the cytogenetic studies. Flow Laboratories ICR male mice were employed in the Host-Mediated Assay.

2. Preparation of Diet

A commercial 4% fat diet was fed to all animals. Periodic tests to verify the absence of coliforms, <u>Salmonella</u> and <u>Pseudomonas</u> sp. were performed.

## 3. Husbandry

Animals were held in quarantine for 4-11 days. Mice were housed five to a cage and rats one to five to a cage. Animals were identified by ear punch. Sanitary cages and bedding were used, and changed two times per week, at which time water containers were cleaned, sanitized and filled. Once a week, cages were repositioned on racks; racks were repositioned within rooms monthly. Personnel handling animals or working within animal facilities wore head coverings and face masks, as well as suitable garments. Individuals with respiratory or other overt infections were excluded from the animal facilities.

# B. <u>Dosage Determination</u>

1. Acute  $\mathrm{LD}_{50}$  and  $\mathrm{LD}_{5}$  Determination Since the compounds proposed for testing are included in



the food additive regulations as "generally recognized as safe" (GRAS), it was expected that a large number of them would be sufficiently non-toxic so that determination of a  $\mathrm{LD}_{50}$  or a  $\mathrm{LD}_{5}$  would be of no practical value. In fact, this has been our experience with previously tested compounds from this list. In the case of these relatively non-toxic compounds, attempts were made to assure that the amounts to be administered would not affect the animals by means (mechanical, physical, etc.) related to their bulk rather than to their toxicity. In the cases of certain compounds where a  $\mathrm{LD}_{50}$  or a  $\mathrm{LD}_{5}$  could not be determined, an exceedingly high concentration, 5 g/kg, was employed and accepted as the  $\mathrm{LD}_{5}$  level. In cases where the toxicity was high enough to allow determination of a  $\mathrm{LD}_{5}$ , the following protocol was used.

Thirty rats of the strain chosen for studies described below and of approximately the age and weight specified were assigned at random to six groups. Each group was then given, using the chosen route of administration, one of a series of dosages of the test compound following a logarithmic dosage scheme. The series of dosages were derived from a consideration of whatever toxicity information was available for the particular test compound. The objective in selecting dosages was to choose values which would cause mortalities between 10% and 90%.

When information was inadequate to derive a suitable series of dosages, five rats were used to identify the proper range. Each of these was given one of a widely spaced (differing by 10X) series of doses. This was confidently expected to suffice for derivation of the series of dosages to be used in the  $LD_{50}$  determination.



The mortalities observed when the series of dosages were given to the 30 rats were then subjected to a probit analysis and calculation of  $LD_{50}$ ,  $LD_{5}$ , slope and confidence limits by the method of Litchfield and Wilcoxon. The highest dose level used was either a finite  $LD_{5}$  or 5000 mg/kg. The intermediate level used was either 1/10 of the finite  $LD_{5}$  or 2500 mg/kg. The low level used was either 1/100 of the finite  $LD_{5}$  or 30 mg/kg.

#### 2. Subacute Studies

Subacute doses were identical to those used in the acute studies. Each subacute study animal was given the acute dosage once a day for each of five consecutive days (24 hours apart).

## C. <u>Mutagenicity Testing Protocols</u>

## 1. Host-Mediated Assay

Flow Laboratories ICR random-bred male mice were used in this study. In the acute and subacute studies ten animals, 25-30 g each, were employed at each dose level. Solvent and positive controls were run at all times. The positive control (dimethyl nitrosamine) was run by the acute system only at a dose of 100 mg/kg for Salmonella. For yeast, ethyl methane sulfonate (EMS) intramuscularly injected at a dose of 350 mg/kg was used. The solvents used and the toxicity data are presented in the Results and Discussion Section of the report.

The indicator organisms used in this study were: (1) two histidine auxotrophs (his G-46, TA-1530) of <u>Salmonella typhimurium</u>, and (2) a diploid strain (D-3) of <u>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</u>. The induction of reverse mutation was determined with the <u>Salmonella</u>; mitotic recombination was determined with yeast. Chemicals were evaluated directly by <u>in vitro</u> bacterial and yeast studies prior to, or concurrent with, the studies in



mice. Only animals on the subacute studies were not fed the evening prior to compound administration. The Salmonella were carried in tryptone yeast extract gel, transferred weekly. They were transferred to tryptone yeast extract broth 48 hours before use: they were transferred a second time from broth to broth 24 hours prior to use, and again 8 hours before use. The mouse inoculum was prepared by transferring 4 ml of the 8-hour broth culture to 50 ml broth bottles which had been prewarmed at 37°C. Exponential log-phase organisms were inoculated intraperitoneally into the mice approximately 2-1/2 hours later when the appropriate density indicating 3.0  $\times$   $10^{8}$ . cells/ml was reached. The Saccharomyces was carried in yeast complete agar. The inoculum was prepared by harvesting the organisms from the surface of the plates with sterile saline. The cells were washed three times with sterile saline and suspended in a concentration of 5.0  $\times$  10<sup>8</sup> cells/ml. Two ml of the suspension was inoculated into each mouse intraperitoneally. Total plate counts on Salmonella were on tryptone yeast extract and for Saccharomyces on yeast complete medium.

#### a. Acute study

Three dosage levels (usage, intermediate [determined as discussed previously], and  $LD_5$ ) were administered orally by intubation to ten mice. Positive controls and negative vehicle controls were included in each study. All animals received 2 ml of the indicator organism intraperitoneally. Each ml contained 3.0 x  $10^8$  cells for Salmonella and 5.0 x  $10^8$  cells for Saccharomyces. Three hours later, each animal was killed and 2 ml of sterile saline was introduced intraperitoneally. As much fluid as possible was then aseptically removed from the peritoneal cavity. Dilution blanks for bacteria containing 4.5 ml of serile saline were prepared in advance. Tenfold serial



dilutions were made of each peritoneal exudate (0.5 ml exudate + 4.5 ml saline) yielding a concentration series from  $10^0$  (undiluted peritoneal exudate) through  $10^{-7}$ . For enumeration of total bacterial counts, the  $10^{-6}$  and  $10^{-7}$  dilutions were plated on tryptone yeast extract agar, 3 plates/sample, 0.2 ml sample/ plate. Each sample was spread over the surface of the plate using a bent glass rod immersed in 95% ethanol and flamed just prior to use. In plating for the total mutant counts on minimal agar, the  $10^{0}$  dilution was used, 0.2 ml being plated on each of 5 plates. The plating procedure was identical to that followed for the tryptone yeast extract agar plates. All plates were incubated at 37°C, tryptone yeast extract agar plates for 18 hours and minimal agar plates for 40 hours. For yeast mitotic recombination, dilution blanks containing 4.5 ml of sterile saline were prepared in advance. Tenfold serial dilutions were made of each sample yielding a series from  $10^0$  to  $10^{-5}$ . Samples of 0.1 ml of the  $10^{-5}$ ,  $10^{-4}$ , and  $10^{-3}$  dilutions were removed and plated on complete medium (10 plates each). All plates were incubated at 30°C for 40 hours. The  $10^{-5}$ dilutions were used to determine total populations and the  $10^{-4}$  and  $10^{-3}$  plates were examined after an additional 40 hours at 4°C for red sectors indicating a mutation. Bacterial scoring was calculated as follows:

Total mutants on 5 plates x appropriate exponent = CFU/ml (CFU is Colony Forming Units) of sample plated CFU/ml x one/dilution factor  $(10^0 - 10^{-7}) = CFU/ml$  in undiluted exudate. The mutation frequency (MF) calculated for each sample was:

 $MF = \frac{total\ mutant\ cells}{total\ population}$ 

MFt/MFc = MF of experimental sample MF of control sample

(MFt/MFc = 1.00 for control sample)



Yeast mitotic recombinants (presumptive  $\underline{ade\ 2}$ ,  $\underline{his\ 8}$  homozygotes) were seen as red colonies or as red sectors on a normally white yeast colony. The plates (from  $10^{-4}$  and  $10^{-3}$  dilutions) were scanned under the 10X lens of a dissecting scope to enumerate the red colonies and sectors. Population determinations were made from the  $10^{-5}$  dilution plates. A recombinant frequency (RF) was calculated:

# RF = total recombinants counted total number colonies screened

## b. Subacute study

Similar groups of animals at each dose level received five oral doses of the test compound 24 hours apart. Within 30 minutes after the last dosing, the animals were inoculated with the test organism and handled in the same fashion as those in the acute study.

## c. In vitro study

Cultures of <u>S</u>. <u>typhimurium</u> histidine auxotrophs

(G-46 and TA-1530) were plated on appropriate media. The test compound was then added to the plate, either in the form of a microdrop of solution (0.01 to 0.25 ml) applied to a small filter paper disc resting on the agar or a small crystal applied directly to the agar. Tenfold serial dilutions of the culture were employed and plated so as not to miss the optimum cell density for mutant growth. Mutant colonies were observed and scored. Strain D-3 <u>Saccharomyces</u> cells at proper dilutions were shaken with the test compound, diluted, and plated at 50% survival level or above (see HMA Supplementary Materials and Methods). Red sectors were then scored and the frequency calculated after suitable incubation. Negative and positive controls were run concurrently. The positive control was EMS for <u>Salmonella</u> and <u>Saccharomyces</u>. The <u>in vitro Salmonella</u> tests were reported



as (+) or (-) or questionable; the <u>in vitro Saccharomyces</u> tests were reported as sample concentrations, percent survival, and recombinants/ $10^5$  survivors. For the <u>Saccharomyces</u> a 50% survival level, e.g., an arbitrary 5.0% w/v test level, was used when no LD<sub>50</sub> was determinable.

## 2. Cytogenetic Studies

## a. <u>In vivo</u> study

Ten to twelve week old, male, albino rats obtained from a closed colony (random-bred) were used. A total of 59 animals in the acute study and 18 animals in the subacute study was used, as illustrated in the following protocol.

## Number of Animals Used

#### Acute Study

Treatment	Time Kille	d After Admir	nistration
	6 Hours	24 Hours	48 Hours
High Level	5	5	<b>'</b> 5
Intermediate Level	5	5	5
Low Level	5	5	5
Positive Control	0	0	5
Negative Control	3	3	3

## Subacute Study

Five doses 24 hours apart; animals killed 6 hours after last dose.

<u>Treatment</u>	Killed After Administration
High Level	5
Intermediate Level	5
Low Level	5
Negative Control	3

All animals were dosed by gastric intubation.

Four hours after the last compound administration, and two hours prior to killing, each animal was given 4 mg/kg of colcemid intra-



peritoneally in order to arrest the bone marrow cells in C-mitosis. Animals were killed by using CO<sub>2</sub>, and the adhering muscle and epiphysis of one femur were removed. The marrow "plug" was removed with a tuberculin syringe and an 18 gauge needle, aspirated into 5 ml of Hanks' balanced salt solution (BSS) in a test tube and capped. The specimens were centrifuged at 1,500 RPM in a table-top centrifuge for 5 minutes, decanted, and 2 ml of hypotonic 0.5% KCl solution was added with gentle agitation to resuspended the cells. The specimens were then placed in a 37°C water bath for 20 minutes in order to swell the cells. Following centrifugation for 5 minutes at 1,500 RPM, the supernatant was decanted and 2 ml of fixative (3:1 absolute methanol:glacial acetic acid) was added. The cells were resuspended in the fixative with gentle agitation, capped, and placed at 4°C for 30 minutes. The specimens were again centrifuged, decanted, 2 ml of prepared fixative was added, and the cells were resuspended at 4°C overnight.

The following day the specimens were again centrifuged, decanted and 0.3 - 0.6 ml of freshly prepared fixative was added to obtain a suitable density. The cells were resuspended and 2 - 3 drops of the suspension were allowed to drop onto a clean, dry slide held at 15° from the horizontal. As the suspension flowed to the edge of the slide, it was ignited by an alcohol burner and allowed to flame. Following ignition, the slides were allowed to dry at room temperature overnight. Duplicate slides were prepared. The slides were stained using a 5% Giemsa solution (Giemsa buffer pH 7.2) for 20 minutes, rinsed in acetone, 1:1 acetone:xylene, and placed in fresh xylene for 30 minutes. The slides were then mounted using Permount (Fisher Scientific) and 24 x 50 mm coverglasses. The coverglasses were selected to be 0.17 mm  $\pm$  0.005 mm in thickness by use of a coverglass micrometer. The preparations



were examined using Leitz Ortholux I & II microscopes with brightfield optics and xenon light sources. These specimens were scanned with 10X and 24X objectives and suitable metaphase spreads that were countable were then examined critically using 40X, 63X or 100X oil immersion flatfield apochromatic objectives. Oculars were either 12X or 16X widefield periplanatics and the tube magnification either 1X or 1.25X. The filters used were either a didymium (BG20) or a Schott IL570 m $\mu$  interference filter.

The chromosomes of each cell were counted and only diploid cells were analyzed. They were scored for chromatid gaps and breaks; chromosome gaps and breaks, reunions, cells with greater than ten aberrations, polyploidy, pulverization, and any other chromosomal aberrations which were observed. They were recorded on the currently used forms and expressed as percentages on the summary sheets. Fifty metaphase spreads were scored per animal. Mitotic indices were obtained by counting at least 500 cells and the ratio of the number of cells in mitosis/the number of cells observed was expressed as the mitotic index.

Positive controls in the acute study consisted of animals which had been given the known mutagen Triethylene Melamine (TEM) administered intraperitoneally at a level of 0.30 mg/kg. Negative controls on the acute and subacute studies consisted of the vehicle in which the compound was administered. The dosage levels, solvents and toxicity data are included in the Results and Discussion Section of the report.

# b. <u>In vitro</u> study

Human embryonic lung cultures (WI-38) which were negative for adventitious agents (viruses, mycoplasma) which may interfere



were used. These cells were employed at passage level 19. The cells had been transferred using 0.025% trypsin and planted in 32 oz. prescription bottles containing 40 ml of tissue culture medium. When growth was approximately 95% confluent the cells were removed from the glass using trypsin, centrifuged, and frozen in tissue culture medium containing dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). Cells were frozen in vials in the vapor phase of liquid nitrogen at a concentration of 2  $\times$  10<sup>6</sup> cells/ml. When needed, the vials were removed from liquid nitrogen, quick-thawed in a 37°C water bath, washed free of DMSO, suspended in tissue culture medium (minimal essential medium [MEM] plus 1% glutamine, 200 units/ml of penicillin and 200 µg/ml of streptomycin and 15% fetal calf serum) and planted in milk dilution bottles at a concentration of 5 x  $10^5$  cells/ml. The test compound was added at three dose levels using three bottles for each level, 24 hours after planting. The dose levels required a preliminary determination of a tissue culture toxicity. This was accomplished by adding logarithmic doses of the compound in saline to a series of tubes containing 5  $\times$  10<sup>5</sup> cells/ml which were almost confluent. The cells were examined at 24, 48, and 72 hours. Any cytopathic effect (CPE) or inhibition of mitoses was scored as toxicity. Five more closely spaced dose levels were employed within the two logarithmic dosages, the higher of which showed toxicity and the lower no effect. The solvents used and the range finding data are presented in the toxicity data report under Results and Discussion. The dose level below the lowest toxic level was employed as the high level. Logarithmic dose levels were employed for the medium and low levels.

Cells were incubated at 37°C and examined twice daily to determine when an adequate number of mitoses were present. Cells were harvested by shaking when sufficient mitoses were observed, usually 24 - 48



hours after planting, centrifuged, and fixed in absolute methanol:glacial acetic acid (3:1) for 30 minutes.

The specimens were centrifuged, decanted, and suspended in acetic acid-orcein stain (2.0%) and a drop of suspension placed on a clean dry slide. Selected coverglasses 0.17 mm in thickness were placed on the suspension and the excess stain gently expressed from the slide. The coverglasses were sealed with clear nail polish and examined immediately.

The microscopes, objectives, oculars, filters and light sources were enumerated under the metaphase description. Positive controls used were TEM (at a concentration of 0.1 mcg/ml dissolved in saline) and negative controls which consisted of the vehicle in which the test compound was dissolved, which was 0.85% saline. Data were reported on forms currently used and expressed as percentages on the anaphase summary sheets.

## 3. Dominant Lethal Assay

In this test, male and female random bred rats from a closed colony were employed. These animals were 10-12 weeks old at the time of use. Ten male rats were assigned to each of 5 groups; 3 dose levels selected as described above, a positive control (triethylene melamine) (TEM) and a negative control (solvent only). The positive control was administered intraperitoneally. Administration of the test compound was orally by intubation in both the acute study (1 dose) and in the subacute study (1 dose per day for 5 days). Following treatment, the males were sequentially mated to 2 females per week for 8 weeks (7 weeks in the subacute study). Two virgin female rats were housed with a male for 5 days (Monday through Friday). These two females were removed and housed in a cage until killed. The male was rested on Saturday and Sunday and two new females introduced to the cage on



Monday. It has been our experience that conception has taken place in more than 90% of the females by Friday and that the two day rest is beneficial to the male as regards subsequent weekly matings. Females were killed using CO<sub>2</sub>. at 14 days after separating from the male, and at necropsy the uterus was examined for deciduomata (early deaths), late fetal deaths and total implantations.

Sufficient animals were provided in our experimental design to accommodate for any reduction in the number of conceptions. Each male was mated with two females per week, and this provided for an adequate number of implantations per group per week (200 minimum) for negative controls, even if there was a fourfold reduction in fertility of implantations. Results were analyzed according to the statistical procedures described in Supplementary Materials and Methods. Corpora lutea, early fetal deaths, late fetal deaths and total implantations per uterine horn were recorded on the raw data sheets, which are submitted separately.

- D. Supplementary Materials and Methods
  - 1. Host-Mediated Assay In Vitro and Formulae
    - a. Bacterial in vitro plate tests

This method has been published by Ames: The Detection of Chemical Mutagens with Enteric Bacteria, in <u>Chemical Mutagens</u>; <u>Principles and Methods for Their Detection</u>, Vol. 1, Chapter 9, pp. 267-282, A. Hollaender, Editor, Plenum Press, New York (1971).

- b. <u>In vitro</u> for mitotic recombination
- (1) Strain D-3 was grown to stationary phase on complete medium agar plates at  $30^{\circ}$ C (3-4 days). Cells were rinsed from the plates and washed twice in saline and cell concentration determined spectro-



photometrically. (A standard curve previously determined for colony forming
units versus % transmittance at 545 mu was easily used.)

- (2) Cells from the concentration suspension were diluted appropriately into 0.067 M Phosphate buffer pH 7.2 to provide  $5 \times 10^7$  cells/ml in a total of 25 ml.
- 4 hours at 30°C, with shaking, at concentrations which permitted determination of the 50% survival level. Then, if not included in the first experiment, the compound was tested again only at the 50% survival level. If 50% survival level could not be determined, the arbitrary test level of 5% w/v was used.
- plated on complete agar medium for determination of total population and red sectors. Total surviving population was conveniently measured on plates of  $10^{-4}$  and  $10^{-5}$  dilutions using 0.2 ml per plate (5 plates), and sectors determined on plates of  $10^{-3}$  and  $10^{-4}$  dilutions using 0.2 ml per plate (5 plates). Plates were incubated for 2 days at 30°C followed by a holding period of 2 days at 4°C to promote color development with limited enlargement of the colonies. Red sectors were scored by systematically scanning the plates with a dissecting microscope at 10X magnification.
- (5) The frequency of red sectors can then be calculated and may be expressed conveniently as sectors per  $10^5$  survivors for comparison with untreated controls.
- (6) Ethyl Methane Sulfonate (EMS) was employed as the positive control in both <u>in vitro</u> systems.
  - c. Minimal medium (bacteria):
    Spizizen's Minimal Medium:



## 4X Salt Solution:

 $(NH_{\Delta}) SO_{\Delta}$ 8.0 gm

K2HPO4 56.0 gm

KH2PO4 24.0 gm

Na Citrate 4.0 gm

Mg SO<sub>4</sub> 0.8 gm

Biotin 0.004 gm

qs to 1 liter H<sub>2</sub>0

Sterilize by autoclaving (121°C/15 min.)

# Medium:

4X Salt Solution :250 ml

:100 ml (If histidine is added 5.0% Glucose (sterile)

at concentration of 30 mg/liter, this becomes a complete bacterial

medium.)

1.5% Bacto-agar :650 ml (sterile)

d. Complete medium (bacteria):

> Bacto-Tryptone 1.0 gm

> Yeast-Extract · 0.5 gm

> Bacto-Agar 2.0 gm

Distilled H<sub>2</sub>O 100.0 ml

Sterilize by autoclaving (121°C for 15 minutes).

Complete medium (yeast):

KH2PO4 1.5 gm

MgSO<sub>4</sub> 0.5 gm

 $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ 4.5 gm 

 Peptone
 3.5 gm

 Yeast-Extract
 5.0 gm

 Glucose
 20.0 gm

 Agar
 20.0 gm

 Distilled H20
 1000.0 ml

Sterilize by autoclaving (121°C for 15 minutes).

 Cytogenetics <u>In Vitro</u> Preparation of Anaphase Chromosomes (from Nichols, 1970)

"Anaphase preparations may be made by several methods. One convenient approach is to grow cells directly on coverslips in petri dishes. With human fibroblasts 400,000 cells added to a 22 x 44 mm coverslip in a 50 mm petri dish grown in a 5%  ${\rm CO}_2$  atmosphere in air has proved very satisfactory. When adequate numbers of mitoses are visualized directly utilizing an inverted microscope (usually 48 to 92 hours after planting) the coverslip is transferred to absolute ethanol for 15 minutes for fixation. They are then stained with any one of a number of suitable stains (Fuelgen, May-Grunwald-Giemse, orcein) and attached to a slide with mounting media for evaluation. Anaphase preparations may also be prepared on cells grown in suspension or cells from a monolayer that have been put into suspension. In this instance the cells are centrifuged and fixed with the squash fixative. They are then suspended in the stain and a drop of the suspension put on the slide and covered with a coverslip. However, in this case, only the excess stain is gently expressed from under the coverslip and no squashing is carried out. In anaphase preparations no pretreatment with colchicine or hypotonic expansion is used and no technique for spreading the cells is used, so that the spindle and normal relationships of the chromosomes are not disturbed."



- 3. Statistical Analyses of Dominant Lethal Studies

  The following statistical analyses were employed as a means of analyzing the results of the dominant lethal studies.
  - a. The fertility index

The number of pregnant females/number of mated females with the chi-square was used to compare each treatment to the control. Armitage's trend was used for linear proportions to test whether the fertility index was linearly related to arithmetic or log dose.

b. Total number of implantations

The t-test was used to determine significant differences between average number of implantations per pregnant female for each treatment compared to the control. Regression techniques were used to determine whether the average number of implantations per female was related to the arithmetic or log dose.

- c. Total number of <u>corpora lutea</u>

  The t-test was used to determine significant

  differences between average number of <u>corpora lutea</u> per pregnant female for each treatment compared to the control.
  - d. Preimplantation losses

Preimplantation losses were computed for each female by subtracting the number of implantations from the number of corpora lutea.

Freeman-Tukey transformation was used on the preimplantation losses for each female and then the t-test was used to compare each treatment to control. Regression technique was used to determine whether the average number of preimplantation losses per female was related to the arithmetic or log dose.



e. Dead implants

Dead implants were treated the same as pre-

implantation losses.

f. One or more dead implants

The proportion of females with one or more dead implants was computed, each treatment compared to control by chi-square test and Armitage's trend used for linear proportions to see if proportions were linearly related to either arithmetic or log dose. Also, probit regression analysis was used to determine whether the probit of the proportions was related to log dose.

g. Two or more dead implants

The proportion of females with two or more dead implants computed was treated same as above (f).

h. Dead implants per total implants

Dead implants per total implants were computed for each female and used Freeman-Tukey arc-sine transformation on data for each female; then used t-test to compare each treatment to control.

Historical control data was compiled on a continuous basis as studies were completed. In addition to comparing each treatment to control, as outlined above, each treatment was compared to a historical control.

In order to take variation between males into account, a nested model was used. An analysis of across weeks is also provided.

In addition to these tests, the distribution forms of the various parameters were tested in order to evaluate the appropriateness of some of the tests being used. Certain correlations between parameters may exist and were examined as one step to determine the appropriateness of models. If necessary, alternate test methods were implemented.



The results are presented in tabular form with the addition of historical control information. In addition to these tables, a written report of all findings is provided. As information became available from the on-going investigation of these data, it was reported and suggestions included for changes to the methods of analysis. The statistical reports give the level of significance using both a one-tailed and two-tailed test. Finally, a summary sheet for each study is provided.



$$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 0$$
,  $Cis \sim nid(0,0c^2)$ ,

Males are randomly drawn from infinite population

<u>8.U.</u>	d.f.	S.S.	MS	E(MS)	F
TOTAL	.39	252 (Yijk - Y)2		·	
GROUPS		20E (gi g)2	5,2	6+261 +2054	15%
VITHIN GROUPS	.18	azz (ŸiiŸi)			500
EMAINDER	20	EZZ(Yijk - Jij)2			

## E. References

- 1. Host-Mediated Assay
  - a. Gabridge, M.G., Denunzio, A. and Legator, M.S.:

    Nature, 221:68, 1969.
  - b. Gabridge, M.G., Denunzio, A. and Legator, M.S.: Science, 163:689, 1969.
  - c. Gabridge, M.G. and Legator, M.S.: Proc. Soc. Exptl. Biol. Med., 130:831, 1969.
  - Gabridge, M.G., Oswald, E.J. and Legator, M.S.:
     Mut. Res., 7:117, 1969.
  - e. Legator, M.S. and Malling, H.V.: In, <u>Environmental</u>

    <u>Chemical Mutagens</u>, A. Hollaender (Ed.), Plenum

    Publishing Corp., New York, in press.

## 2. Cytogenetics

- a. Nichols, V.W.: Personal communication.
- Legator, M.S.: In, <u>Laboratory Diagnosis of Diseases</u>
  <u>Caused by Toxic Agents</u>, F. W. Sunderman and F. W.
  Sunderman (Ed.), Warren H. Green, Inc., St. Louis,
  pp. 17-22, 1970.
- c. Hsu, T.C. and Patton, J.L.: Technical Addendum in,

  <u>Comparative Mammalian Cytogenetics</u>, K. Benirschke

  (Ed.), Springer-Verlag, New York, pp. 454-460, 1969.
- d. Legator, M.S. et al.: Cytogenetic studies in rats of cyclohexylamine, a metabolite of cyclamate. Science, <u>165</u>:1139, 1969.



# 3. Dominant Lethal

- a. Bateman, A.J.: Genet. Res. Comb., <u>1</u>:381, 1960.
- b. Bateman, A.J.: Nature, 210:205, 1966.
- c. Ehling, U.H., Cumming, R.B. and Malling, H.V.:
  Mut. Res., 5:417, 1968.
- d. Epstein, S.S. and Shafner, H.: Nature, <u>219</u>:385, 1968.

## F. Abbreviations

- 1. mu = micron
- 2. mcg = ug = microgram
- 3. g = gram
- 4. kg = kilogram
- 5. ml = milliliter
- 6. rpm = revolutions per minute
- 7. °C = degrees centigrade
- 8. pH = power of the hydrogen ion concentration to the base 10
- 9. M = molar solution
- 10. conc. = concentration
- 11. MTD = maximum tolerated dosage = High =  $LD_5$  if determined or else exceedingly high dose, such as 5 g/kg
- 12. INT = intermediate = medium level
- 13. USE = usage level if known = low level
- 14. BSS = balanced salt solution
- 15. C-metaphase = cells arrested in metaphase, using colchine or colcemid
- 16.  $LD_{50}$  = that dosage which produced 50% mortality in the group of animals treated
- 17. LD<sub>5</sub> = that dosage which produced 5% mortality in the group of animals treated
- 18. NC = negative control
- 19. PC = positive control
- 20. AU = acute usage level (low level)
- 21. AI = acute intermediate level (medium level)



- 23. SAU = subacute usage level (low level)
- 24. SAI = subacute intermediate level (medium level)
- 25. SA  $LD_5$  = subacute  $LD_5$  level (MTD level, high level)
- 26.  $CO_2$  = carbon dioxide
- 27. DMN = Dimethyl nitrosamine
- 28. EMS = Ethyl methane sulfonate
- 29. TEM = Triethylene melamine
- 30. DMSO = Dimethyl sulfoxide
- 31. MEM = minimal essential medium (Eagle's)
- 32. CPE = cytopathic effect
- 33. his = histidine marker
- 34. D-3 = mitotic recombinant strain of <u>Saccharomyces</u>
- 35. mf = mean mutant frequency
- 36. MFt/MFc = mean mutant frequency of the test compound group compared to mean mutant frequency of the negative control group
- 37. CFU = colony forming units
- 38. WI-38 = code name for a strain of human embryonic lung
   tissue culture cells
- 39. Rec  $\times 10^5$  = mitotic recombinants  $\times 10^5$
- 40. Mean B/A = mean frequency
- 41. tot. scr. = total scored
- 42. tot. = total
- 43.  $\chi^2$  = a test of variation in the data from the computed regression line tested in these studies at the 5% level
- 44. Aber. = aberrations
- 45. Frag. = fragment
- 46. HMA = host-mediated assay

